CHAPTER-I

Introduction

The term 'Poetics' stands for that category of treaties which is written on literary criticism in a special language. Poetics, which is also known as 'Alamkāraśāstra' in Sanskrit, occupies a very significant position in Sanskrit literature. Poetics (Alamkāraśāstra) has been cultured in India from a very early date as a science. Alamkāraśāstra is the name of the treatise which is written on literary criticism. Ancient authors used to say that *Alamkāra* was the judgement of poetry and the law book of the poetic world. Poetics which is also known as-'Kāvyaśāstra', 'Alamkāraśāstra', and 'Sāhītyaśāstra.' It is a part of Philosophy because the majority of the writers on it have been influenced while writing their theories by Philosophical, Psychological and Ethical ideas. Further, it is called the science of art, because it deals with the technique of art. Among its various names it is popularly known as 'Alamkāraśāstra' in Sanskrit. Accordingly the writer of an 'Alamkāraśāstra' or the person who profoundly deals with the subjects of poetics is called *Alamkāra*. The view of Jayadeva in this regard is very clear. He accepted the existence of Alamkāra in Kāvya as heat in the fine. He said that, Alamkāra was like 'Hāra' or 'Necklace'. Kāvya is constituted with Rasa and Alamkāra 'अलमकारतीति अलंकार:' is of the first category, second is 'अलंक्यते अनेनीति अलंकारः ' and 'अलंकारनमीति अतंकारः' is the proper derivation of the etymological meaning. In this context the word 'Alamkāra' is accepted in the broader sense. Hence Alamkāra does not only refer to the Kāvyālamkāra or the figures of speech, but it also refers to

the various beautifying and elevating elements of poetry along with other allied matters, e.g. *Guṇa, Rīti, Auchitya, Dhvani, Rasa* and so on. Thus '*Alaṃkāraśāstra*' incorporates all the various topics related to poetry in its body and soul.

The word 'Kāvya' has a much broader connotation than the English word 'Poetry'. In English the term 'Literature' is often used to mean technical literature also and the term 'Poetry' is used in a much restricted sense to mean only literary composition in verse. Hence, the 'Kāvya' or 'Poetry' used here more conveniently to cover all the varieties of the type of fine art based on words and meaning.

The origin of 'Alamkāraśāstra' takes us back to the age of Rgveda we get reference of Alamkāras in Nirukta of Yāska, Aṣṭādhyāyī of Pāṇini and Nāṭyaśāstra of Bharata. But Bharata is practically the first known writer on the subject. He is extremely simple in his statement. All writers and schools of thoughts have been inspired by him. According to him-

"न हि रसाहते कश्चिदर्थ प्रवर्तते।"¹

'There can be no poetry without *Rasa*.'

Poetry (Kāvya) happens to be the basic point of discussion of the various Alamkāraśāstras. Kāvya is an ancient term which can be derived as 'Kaveṛdam' or 'Kavinā Ķṛtam' which means—that which belongs to poet. The two terms i.e., 'Kāvya' and 'Kavi' are also frequently found in the Vedic literature; as—"मन्दिश्ठा यद्यशनकाव्ये।"

And "प्रच्छामि विद्वमाने न विद्वान्॥"³

Besides implying some other senses, the term ' $K\bar{a}vya$ ' also stands for Rk, Yajuh and $S\bar{a}ma$ (called $Tray\bar{\imath}$).

There are fourteen sorts of $Vidy\bar{a}$ (knowledge), which are ascribed by various Indian scriptures. The $Pur\bar{a}na$ records as-

"अङ्गानि वेदाश्वत्वारो मीमांसा न्याय-विस्तारः। पुराणां धर्मशास्त्रः च विद्या ह्येता चतुर्दश॥"⁴

'The six Vedāngas, four Vedās, Mīmāmśā, Nyāya, Purāṇa, Dharmaśāstra, etc.

In addition to these fourteen, *Rājaśekhara* assigns '*Kāvya*' as the fifteen branch of knowledge, as-

"सकलविद्यास्थानैकायतनं पन्चदशं काव्यं विद्यास्थानमीति ययावरीयः॥" र्

On the other hand, the word 'Sāhitya' comes into existence comparatively in a later period of time. 'Sāhitya' can be derived as 'Sāhityasya Bhāva' or the state of being together. At first Bhāmaha's definition Kāvya or poetry as-'

"शब्दार्थों शहितौं काव्यम्।"

'Śabda (the word) and Artha (the sense), is called 'Kāvya'.

Similarly, *Kuntaka* also uses the word *'Sahita'* in his definition of *'Kāvya'*. He also describes the term *'Sāhitya'* in this context, he says:

"साहित्यमनयोः शोभाशातिनं प्रति काव्यासौ। अन्युनातिरिक्तव मनोहारिणोश्वरिथतिः॥"

That means, $S\bar{a}hitya$ (literature) is that appropriate charming state which contributes towards the beauty of word and sense. Thus, $S\bar{a}hitya$ can be regarded as a well-matched combination of $S\bar{a}bda$ and Artha.

Again *Rājaśekhara* assigns '*Sāhitya*' to be the fifth *Vidyā*. According to him-"पञ्चमी साहित्यविद्यातीति ययावरीयः।"

These Vidyās are: Ānvīksikī, Trayī, Vārtā and Danḍanīti.

Later on, some poets used the word 'Sāhitya' in the sense of 'Kāvya'. Rājānaka Ruyyaka (11th cen. A.D.) and Viśvanātha (14th cen. A.D.), are seen to have named their works on poetics as 'Sāhitya-mīmāmśā' and 'Sāhitya-darpaṇa' respectively.

'Kāvya' can be divided into two types' viz. 'Dṛśya' and 'Śṛāvya'. Of these, Dṛśya is that where one can relish the Rasa (sentiment) by both listening and watching, while, in 'Śṛāvya-kāvya', Rasa can be relished by listening only. Apart from these, Kāvya is also classified in other ways by different rhetoricians.

After that *Bhāmaha*, *Kāvya* can be divided into two categories viz., 'Gadya' (Prose) and 'Padya' (Poem). Again, he divides *Kāvya* into three types, namely,- 'Samskṛta', 'Prākṛta', and 'Apbharamśa'. Further, some later theorists also recognize 'Champū-kāvya', which is said to be the mixture of 'Gadya' and 'Padya'. Again, basing on the quality of Kāvya, Āanandavardhana and Mammaṭa divides Kāvya into three classes, called 'Dhvani-kāvya' (suggested poetry), 'Gunībhūtavyānga-kāvya' (poetry having subordinated suggestion) and 'Citra-kāvya' (portrait-poetry). But Viśvanātha does not accept Citra-kāvya and he recognizes only two of them viz. Dhvani-kāvya and Gunībhūtavyānga-kāvya.

All these types of *Kāvya* and their allied topics are the subject-matters of 'Poetics' or '*Kāvya-śāstra*' or '*Alamkāra-śāstra*'. The different subjects of poetics help the poetic regards and also in bringing of proficiency in their writings. *Rājaśekhara* in his '*Kāvyamīmāmśā*' describes '*Kāvya-puruṣa*' (the personification of poetry) as born of goddess '*Sarasvatī*' and as having instructed seventeen pupils born by his wish to indulge in the various aspects of poetics. In the history of Sanskrit literature, various eminent writers deal with different issues of poetics and prove their erudite thereby.

The various subjects of poetics have been attracting (asserting) the attention of the Indian writers since a very earlier age. The history of Sanskrit poetics takes into its account the development of literary criticism from the wondering theories of poetics as seen in the *Rgveda and in* the formal treatises like *Daśarūpaka*, *Sāhityadarpaṇa*, *Rasataraṅgīni*, *Rasagaṅgādhara* and so on. In Sanskrit poetics a lot of aspects have been discussed like *Doṣa* (demerit), *Guṇa* (merit), *Rīti* (style), *Nāṭyattvya* (dramaturgy), *Alaṅkāras* (ornaments), *Rasa* (sentiment), etc. During the course of this developing period, various innovative ideas were put forward from time to time. Some disputes were also raised with a view to finding out the qualities and criteria of good poetry and the nature of poetic blemishes.

Numerous works on literary criticism are available in India from *Bharata* to *Jagannātha* and others in Sanskrit poetics which are not found in any other language in the world. Starting from *Bharata* who flourished in about the second century B.C. up to the 18th century A.D., and some of the scholars like

Bhāmaha, Danḍīn, Vāmana, Udbhaṭa, Rūdraṭa, Abhinavagupta, Rājaśekhara, Ānandavardhana, Mammaṭa, Bhoja, Dhanañjaya, Viśvanātha, Bhānudatta, Jagannātha have created 'Alamkāraśastra' of different volumes in their respective ages.

Later on, scholars like Dr. Jacobi, Mm. Dr. P.V. Kane, Dr. S.K. De, Dr. Raghavan and many others have brought out their researches on various aspects of the works of those Ālamkārikās. Of late, Dr. Priyadarshi Patnaik, Dr. Bechan Jha and Dr. P.C. Lahiri have carried out their researches on 'Rasa'(Rasa in Aesthetics), 'Doṣa'(Concept of Poetic Blemishes in Sanskrit Poetics) and 'Rīti' and 'Guṇa' (Concept of Rīti and Guṇa in Sanskrit Poetics) respectively.

Sāhitya Akādemy, Delhi has recently published a book on the life and works of Viśvanātha Kavirāja, under its 'makers of Indian Literature' series, 2011 by Ananta Charan Sukla. The book attempts to offer a comprehensive account of his ideas of poetry; it presents the historical data in its theoretical perspectives, surveys the development of Sanskrit poetics from the earlier times till his entry and discusses the different topics his work. The book has two long chapters with an elaborate introduction to the life and works of Viśvanātha providing all historical data. While the first chapter deals with Sanskrit poetics in the making, the second chapter discusses Viśvanātha's theory of poetry explaining the intricate structure of his poetics.

There are a good number of scholars, who have done their works based on *Rasa* (sentiment); especially on Sanskrit poetics i.e. literature. Such as:

Dr. Hari Ram Mishra- 'The Theory of Rasa in Sanskrit Drama with A comparative study of general dramatic literature', Bhopal, Sagar (M.P).1964; Dr. V. Raghavan- The Number of Rasa, Madras. 1967; Dr. Pushpendra Kumar-Treatment of Pathos in Sanskrit Dramas, Delhi.1981; Tarak Nath Bali- 'Rasa Siddhānta ki Dārśanik Aur Naitik Vyākhyā'. Agra.1987; Kāvyarasa Chintan Aur Āswād, Varanasi.1990; Rasa Siddhānta-Dr. Nagendra, New Delhi.1995; Bhakti in the Vaisnava Rasa- śāstra, New Delhi.1996; Dr. Priyadarshi Patnaik-'Rasa in Aesthetics an Application of Rasa Theory to modern Western literature. In the published year, New Delhi.1997; Ramaranjan Mukharjee's 'Rasa Samīşkhā', Kolkata.2001; Abhinavagupta's Rasabhāsya, Kolkata.2007; Rasagāna sudhā-Venkatesh Burli, New Delhi. 2008; Susan L. Schwartz- 'Rasa Performing the Divine in India', New Delhi. 2008; S. C. Pande- The Concept of Rasa with Special Reference to Abhinavagupta, IIAS, Simla.2009; Dr. Khudiram Das- Vaisnava-Rasa-Prakāśa, Kolkata. 2009; Rasa Siddhānta Aur Saundarya śāśtra-Nirmala Jain; Vidyanivsh Mishra And Satyadeva Misra-Rasakhyān Rachanāvalī.-New Delhi.

Some articles- Princy Sunil- *Rasa* in Sanskrit Drama- The Indian Review of World Literature in English, Vol.1, No.1-Jan, 2005. Dr. C.S. Srinivas-Significance of *Rasa* and *Abhiṇaya* Techniques in *Bharata's Nāṭyaśāstra*, IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS), Vol.19, Issue 5, Ver. IV. PP 25-29.2014.

Recently two Ph.D. research works have been completed from Assam University. The first one is "Mammata and Viśvanātha: A comparative Study

with special reference to *Doṣa* and *Guṇa*" by Dr. Kamal Lochan Atreya. The chapters of this thesis are -Introduction, *Doṣas* and *Guṇas* in Sanskrit poetics, contribution of *Mammaṭa* to *Doṣa* and *Guṇa*, contribution of *Viśvanātha* to *Doṣa* and *Guṇa*, *Doṣa* and *Guṇa* of *Mammaṭa* and *Viśvanātha*: A comparative analysis and major findings and concluding observations.

Second one is "Alamkāras in Sanskrit poetics with special reference to Viśvanātha" by Dr. Gopinatha Das. The chapters of this thesis are-Introduction, researcher goes to the brief discussed about genesis of Sanskrit Alamkāras, Alamkāras in Sanskrit poetics (from Bharata to Jagannātha, contribution of Viśvanātha to Sanskrit poetics, Alamkāras of Viśvanātha: analysis and interpretation and major findings and concluding observations.

It appears that good number of studies have been carried out on poetics specially *Dhanañjaya* to *Viśvanātha* more especially on *Rasa*. But hardly any study has been taken up to look into the matter in a comparative manner. Which persuaded the researcher to raise the following issue such as what are the contributions made by *Dhanañjaya* and *Viśvanātha* in the field of poetics? What are their views with respect to *Rasa*? How it has influence in the works of later Indian literature? To find out the answer of these issues present study entitled, "*Dhanañjaya* and *Viśvanātha*: a comparative study with special reference to *Rasa*" has been carried out with the following objectives:

(i) To inquire into the contributions of a *Dhanañjaya* and *Viśvanātha* to Sanskrit poetics.

- (ii) To know about the position of *Rasa* in Sanskrit poetics and its importance herein, and
- (iii) To bring forth a comparative and critical discourses on *Dhanañjaya* and *Viśvanātha*'s ideas on *Rasa*.

The present study will be carried out by adopting normative, comparative and critical approaches.

The present research has been carried out on the basis of both primary and secondary sources. He has collect materials for the present study from text books like *Bharata's 'Nāṭyaśāstra'*, *Dhanañjaya's 'Daśarūpaka'*, *Mammaṭa's 'Kāvyaprakāśa'*, *Viśvanātha's 'Sāhityadarpaṇa'* etc., some of the research works of earlier researcher like Dr. Priyadarshi Patnaik's "*Rasa* in Aesthetics", Susan L. Schwartz's "*Rasa*- Performing the Divine in India", some of the reference books such as- S.K. De's "History of Sanskrit Poetics" and "Some problems of Sanskrit Poetics", Ramaranjan Mukharjee's "Literary Criticism in Ancient India" and also "Glimpses of Ancient Indian Poetics: From *Bharata* to *Viśvanātha*" edited by Sudharakara Pandey and V.N. Jha, "*Adhunik Sanskrit Kāvyaśāstra*" by Dr. Ananda Kumar Srivastav, "Outlines of Sanskrit Poetics" by G. Vijayvardhana", etc., research journals and magazine articles and from other related literatures.

The present thesis is divided into seven chapters. In the first chapter which is named as 'Introduction'.

The second chapter, which is titled as 'Sanskrit Poetics and Rasa.' This chapter also deals with a brief history of Sanskrit poetics (from Bharata to

Viśvanātha), different schools of Sanskrit poetics, place, meaning, importance etc. of Rasa in Sanskrit poetics, classifications of Rasa, study conducted on Rasa and purpose of the study.

The third chapter consists of two issues viz. 'Contribution of *Dhanañjaya* to Sanskrit Poetics and Contribution of *Viśvanātha* to Sanskrit Poetics' which are based on literary survey.

The fourth chapter of the present work is named as 'Rasa after Dhanañjaya'. In this chapter, there are discussion about the definition of Rasa, Vibhāva, Anubhāva, Vyabhicāri or Sañcāri bhāva, Nāyaka, Nāyikā, etc. and definition, classifications of Rasa i.e., Śṛṅgāra, Hāsya, Vīra, Karuṇa etc. with examples of these Rasa respectively.

The fifth chapter i.e. 'Rasa after Viśvanātha'. This chapter describes the meaning of Rasa, Vibhāva, Anubhāva, Vyabhicāri bhāva, definition of Nāyaka-Nāyikā and its divisions and subdivisions; number of Rasa i.e., Śṛṅgāra, Hāsya, Vīra etc. and with its proper examples.

The sixth chapter of the present thesis is titled as 'Rasa after Dhanañjaya and Viśvanātha: a Comparative analysis.' This chapter contains a comparative and critical discussion on concept of Kāvya and Nāṭya Rasa in particular. Beside this, the views of some earlier rhetoricians on Rasa and some other topics are also examined and compared with the views of Dhanañjaya and Viśvanātha.

At last, the seventh chapter of the present work named as 'Major Findings and Concluding Observations', which sums up the major outcomes of the whole literary study.

It should also be mentioned here that the researcher relies on the 'Daśarūpaka' of Dhanañjaya', ed. by George C.O. Hass and 'The Sāhityadarpaṇa' or Mirror of Composition of Viśvanātha', ed. by J.R. Ballantyne and Pramadā Dāsa Mitra for English translations of some of the Sanskrit verses while writing this thesis.