CHAPTER-II

General Characteristics of Sanskrit Drama and the Place of Venīsamhāra

In relishing aesthetics of Indian literature, readers place Dramas in a higher order. Without dramas, the Sanskrit literature would not be accomplished. According to Indian thinkers, the best poets are dramatists. Sanskrit drama evolved in all its aspects in a particularly Indian atmosphere. Poetry in Sanskrit, from its inherent nature, as part from its intrinsic merit is divided into two kinds $-Dr\acute{s}ya$ and $\acute{s}ravya$. Amongst these two, the first one viz. the $Dr\acute{s}ya$ is that sort of $K\bar{a}vya$ which is put to the stage for enaction $-Dr\acute{s}yam$ tatrabhineyam. The drama falls under the first division. The aforesaid $Dr\acute{s}ya$ $K\bar{a}vya$ passed by the general denomination 'Rupaka' because in it the actor and actresses appear on the theatrical stage and the original characters of the plays are imposed on them-tadrupāro pāttu rupakam.²

Rupaka is the general term in Sanskrit for all dramatic compositions, which also comprises subordinate class called Uparupaka. The Rupaka which is divided into ten classes viz.- Nāṭaka,

¹ cf.S.D by K.M.Śāstrī, 6th ch,sl-1,p-319

². cf.ibid

Prakaraṇa, Bhāṇa, Vyāyoga, Samavakāra, Dima, Ihāmrga, Anka, Vīthi and Prahasana are dealt in the Sāhityadarpaṇa elaborately.³

Over and above the said ten varieties of *Rupaka*, there are also eighteen kinds of *Upa-rupakas*. Such as $-N\bar{a}$ tika, *Troṭaka*, *Gosṭhī*, *Saṭṭaka*, $N\bar{a}$ tya- $r\bar{a}$ saka, *Prasthāna*, *Ullapya*, $K\bar{a}$ vya, *Prenkhaṇa*, $R\bar{a}$ saka, *Samlāpaka*, *Srīgadita*, *Śilpaka*, *Vilāsika*, *Dur-mallikā*, *Prakaraṇa*, *Halliśa* and *Bhaṇika*. Amongst these eighteen *Upa-rupakas*, the most important is $N\bar{a}$ tika and brilliant examples of $N\bar{a}$ tika are— $Ratn\bar{a}$ valī, *Vidhyāṣalabhañjika* etc. An example of *Troṭoka* is *Vikramorvaśīya* etc.

Nāṭaka or a drama is a variety of Rupaka, which is defined by Viśvanātha in his Sāhityadarpaṇa-

nāṭakaṁ khyātavṛttaṁ syātpañcasandhisamanvitam vilasaddharyadigunavadyuktaṁ nānāvibhūtibhiḥ sukhadukhasamudbhuti nānārasanirantaraṁ pañcādikā daśaparāstatrānkāḥ parikīrtitāḥ prakhyātavaṁso rajarṣirdhīrodāttaḥ pratāpavān divyo'tha divyādivyo vā gunavānnāyako mataḥ eka eva bhavedaṅgī śṛngāro vīra eva vā angamanye rasāḥ sārve karyo nirvahane'dbhūtaḥ⁴

³.cf.nāṭakamatha prakaraṇam bhāṇavyāyogasamavakāradimaḥ ihāmrgānkavithyaḥ prahasanamiti rupakāṇi daśa- S.D, by K.M.Sastri,ch-vi, sl-3,p-320

In a *nāṭaka*, the subject matter must be a well known one, which should be derived from either history or mythology or some folk-lore, rather one should say that the story of the plot should be derived from either *Rāmāyana* or *Mahābhārata* or from other *Purāṇas*. There are three kinds of subject matter as in the *Daśarupaka*-

Prakhyātotpādyamiśratvabhedāttattrividham matam.⁵

The subject matter of a nāṭaka includes fine stages or *Avasthās*. Such as $-\bar{A}rambha$ (beginning), Jatna (effort) $Pr\bar{a}pty\bar{a}\dot{s}\bar{a}$ (proposal of success), Niyatāpti (certain attainment through elimination of obstacles), phalāgama (attainment of the derived object). It also comprises five Sandhis or junctures and five Arthaprakrtis. The five junctures are— Pratimukha, Garbha, Vimarśa and Nirvahana. The Mukha, Arthaprakrtis are the discernible points of story line and are $-B\bar{i}ja$, Bindu, Patākā, Prakarī and Kārya. The language of the nāṭaka should energetic as well as graceful and be rich in various merits enumerated by the ancient rhetoricians. The dramas will present prosperous condition of the hero as well as of the theme and exhibit a variety of sentiments. In regard to number of Acts, i.e., chapters five to ten are the desired Besides these the rhetoricians mentioned about rules and numbers. position of Nāndī, Prastāvanā, Bharatavākya, Arthopaksepaka etc.

⁴.cf. S.D by K.M.Śāstrīi, ch-vi ,p-321

⁵.cf.V.S,by C.Sankara Intro-vi

The drama *Veṇīsaṃhāra* technically falls under the category of a *nāṭaka* proper, hence it features all the important aspects of *nāṭaka* like – *vastu*, the plot etc.

Vastu i.e. the subject matter of the play, *Venīsamhāra* which has been taken from the great epic *Mahābhārata* is well known to all. It conforms with the characteristics of Nāṭaka following the Indian alamkāraśāstra. According to it the theme is the backbone of a Nāṭaka and it is considered as a principal category amongst the three principles of a Nāṭaka. The vastu of a drama is of two kinds i.e. one is Adhikārika and Adhikārika is related to the success or the other one is *Prāsangika*. attainment of final goal of the hero. The *prāsaṅgika* matters in the drama on the other hand are subsidiary matters which help the hero realizing the ultimate goal of the drama. In the Drama under scrutiny all the incidents related to *Bhīmasena*, the hero are *Adhikārika* while the happenings like the quarrel between Aśvatthāmā and Karna, the conversation between *Rākṣasa* and *Rākṣasī*, the depiction of *Cārvāka* in the guise of an ascetic etc are *Prāsangikas*. To understand the matters related to the adhikārika and prāsangika stories one may put forward the illustrations of three magnificient dramas of *Kālidāsa*. The principal stories depicting the love of heroes and heroines in the respective dramas are adhikāras on the

other hand other relative episodes such as curse episode, ring episode etc of *Abhijñānaśakuntalain* and the like are *prāsaṅgika* elements.

The Principal or Adhikārika and Prāsangika or incidental actions have five formers, known as Arthaprakṛtis. Generally the dramatists follow the five Arthaprakṛtis mentioned in the Nāṭyaśāstra and other works on rhetoricism. $B\bar{\imath}ja$ (germ), the seed where from the fruit is sprung in a drama is very subtle and not distinct in the initial stage with the development of the story $B\bar{i}ja$ or germ of the principal story could be discerned clearly. In the Venīsamhāra the anger of Bhīmasena and Yudhisthiras consent for battle⁶ are considered as Bīja by the rhetoricians like *Dhanañjaya* and *Darpanākāra Viśvanātha*. The second *arthaprakṛti* is Bindu i.e. drop of oil into water. This spreads in a drama like an oil drop in water. In the second Act of the drama the scene of Bālodyāna is diverted by the entry of *Duhśalā* and her mother-in-law with a prayer to save Jayadratha, who is oathed to be kill within a day by Arjuna. Following which *Duryodhana* becomes ready to march for battlefield i.e. the convention of mind to the theme after a short perturbation and that point in the concerned drama. Patākā, the third one is one of the five types of arthaprakṛti. Patākā plays an important role in the development

 $^{^6.}cf.$ yatsatyavratabhangabh \bar{i} rumanas \bar{a} yatnena mand \bar{i} kṛta \bar{m}

Yadvismartumapīhitam śamavatā śāntim kulasyecchtā

Taddayūtaraņisambhrtam nṛpavadhūkesśāmbarākarṣanaiḥ

Krodhajyotiridam mahatkuruvane yudhisthiram jṛmbhate// V.S by M.R.Kale, 1st Act, sl-24,p-24

of the story. It helps in illustrating the development of the plot and realizing the goal, though placed in a particular point of the drama. As Bhīmasena is considered as the hero in the drama his dialogues would not be Patākā. In the drama the tricks uses by Lord Kṛṣṇa and all are of Patākā artha-praktrti. Though Sri Kṛṣṇa appeared once in the last Act of the Venīsamhāra, Kṛṣṇa's guidance althrough the drama help Pāṇḍavas, specially Bhīmasena realise his goal. And Pāṇḍavas acknowledge the same. So all the policies and developments carried out by Kṛṣna⁷ are considered as Patākā in the drama Venīsamhāra. The fourth Arthaprakṛti is *Prakarī*. In the *Prakarī*, the small incidents take place which are important for the development of the story. *Prakarī* is limited only in a part of the drama. In a drama there may be many incidents. *Prakarī* is that Arthaprakrti where the incidental history is found in the confined manner. The hero is invariably associated with the *Prakarī*, which does not come out directly but he helps indirectly the hero to proceed in the main purpose of the drama. In the Venīsamhāra the incident of Cārvāka story in the sixth Act of the drama is *Prakarī*. *Cārvāka*, the demon who is really a friend of *Duryodhana*, misguides *Yudhisthira* in the guise of a Muni (sage). He informs Yudhişthira that Bhīmasena is killed by Duryodhana with his mace and at last Arjuna has to keep the mace from

٠

⁷ Cf.devam kutastasya vijayādanyadyasya bhagavānpuraṇapuruṣo nārāyaṇah svayam mangalānyāśāste. V.S by M.R.Kale, p-167.

Bhīma to fight with Duryodhana in place of arrow. Because of the fact that the Arjuna is not expert in the mace fighting, like Bhīmasena and Duryodhana, Yudhiṣṭhira became perplexed. The particular part is carried out very briefly and finally overcome.

The last *Arthaprakṛti* is *Kārya*. The success of *Trivargas* like *Dharma*, *Artha* and *Kāma* is *Kārya*. It is like a tree, from the *Bīja* it grows up with leaf and flower after that finally turns up fruits. In the three dramas of *Kālidāsa* final union of hero and heroine is seen as *Kārya Arthaprakṛti*. In the *Veṇīsamhāra*, the arranging by smearing blood the uncombed hair of *Draupadī* by *Bhīmasena* after the death of *Duryodhana* is regarded as *Kārya Arthaprakṛti*. Because, it is in this place, the vow of *Bhīmasena* has been fulfilled and at last *Yudhiṣṭhira*, the hero's elder brother regains power by vanishing all.

The five stages or Avasthās discovered in Veṇīsaṁhāra are as follows. In the first Act of Veṇīsaṁhāra, the proposal of alliance and the conversation in between Bhīmasena and Sahadeva are the Ārambhas or beginning. In the Mudrārākṣasa of Viśakhādatta on the other hand the willingness of Cāṇakya to appoint Chandragupta as a King and finding out the stamped ring decorated by the Rākṣasa's name are Ārambhas.8 In Mahāvīracarita and Mālatīmādhava of Bhavabhūti again the inclination

.

⁸.cf.(mudrāmavalokya grhītvā rākṣasasya nāma vācayati .saharsam svagatam) nanu vaktavyam rākṣasa eva asmadangulipraṇayi samvṛtta iti. M.R –by S.R.Ray Ist Act ,p-67

of $R\bar{a}ma$ towards $Sit\bar{a}$ and craving of Mādhava for $M\bar{a}lat\bar{\iota}$ after seeing in the first Act, are $\bar{A}rambhas$. In $K\bar{a}lid\bar{a}sas$ $Abhij\bar{n}\bar{a}na\acute{s}akuntalam$ one notices the $\bar{a}rambha$ $avasth\bar{a}$ in finding the visual glimpse of $\acute{S}akuntal\bar{a}$ by Dusyanta and his subsequent desire to achieve her. $\bar{A}rambha$ is the kind of $avasth\bar{a}$ which creates curiosity in the mind of hero and heroine to gain their desired goal.

The second stage is *Prajatna* or endeavour, where the enthusiasm of the characters is seen and for that they quickly proceed towards the result. In the drama Mālavikāgnimitram, the endeavour of Vidusaka is to unite the hero Agnimitra with the heroine Mālavikā. In this way the declaration of war by Yudhisthira in the first Act of Venīsamhāra and the reference Ranayajña by Bhīmasena after failure of the mission of peace is an indication of attainment of goal through prospective war. Therefore, the exit of Bhīmasena with determination for battle9 comes under Prayatna. After Prayatna, the Prāptyāśā is rather hope of achieving final goal. The rhetoricians like *Dhanañjaya*, *Viśvanātha* and *Singubhūpāla* consider $Pr\bar{a}pty\bar{a}\dot{s}\bar{a}$ to be gaining of hope for outcome by characters over despair of apprehension. For example, in the Abhijnanśakuntalām, the curse of *Durvāsā* is an interruption in the plot. But the possibility of pacification is seen when $Anas\bar{u}y\bar{a}$ and $Priyamvad\bar{a}$ are told that

⁹.cf.devi gacchāmo vayamidānim kurukulakṣayāya. V.S- by M.R.Kale, 1st Act ,p-26

Śakuntalā may be averted from the affect of the curse if she can produce something significant. The viewers become relaxed and hope for Śakuntalā's good fortune because there is a ring of Duṣyanta with Śakuntalā as a gift. That is the stage of Prāptyāśā. The announcement of death of Duḥśāsana in the third Act of Veṇīsamhāra can be considered as the stage of Prāptyāśā. After the death of Duḥśāsana, Duryodhana becomes all alone in Kaurava's side. It is the hope of final attainment i.e. to vanquish Duryodhana is then seemed to be an achievable goal. The further stage is Niyatāpti i.e. after removing the obstacles when the result is almost be sure. In the case of Veṇīsamhāra remaining only Duryodhana is an indication of gaining kingship by Pānḍavas. In the Abhijāānaśakuntalam also obtaining the ring by Dhīvara is Niyatāpti.

Phalāgama is the last stage or condition, where the hero gains his desired goal in the plot. The attainment of the final object in the drama Veṇīsamhāra, is the braiding of hair of Draupadī, i.e., re-imposing her marital status after taking revenge to those who assaulted her and putting them to death. This Phalāgama in Veṇīsamhāra is considered in the sixth Act where Bhīmasena comes with blood stained hand to bind the veṇi¹¹ of Draupadī. It indicates the death of last Kaurava Duryodhana and obvious

¹⁰ .cf.tato na me vacanamanyathābhavitumarhati kim tvabhijnānabharaṇadarśanena sāpo nivartiṣyata iti mantrayamāṇa evāntarhitaḥ. A.S-by M.R.Kale ,4th Act ,p-126

¹¹.cf.ārya śumahadavasistam.samyacchāmi tāvadanena suyodhanasonitokśitena pāṇina pāñcalyā duḥsāsanāvakṛṣṭam keśahastam. V.S,by M.R.Kale 6th Act,p-165

succession of *Yudhiṣṭhira*. So the last victory of *Pāṇḍavas* to the throne i.e. the ultimate overcoming of truth is proved. *Bhīmasena* becomes successful in his mission. This is the *Phalāgama* or attainment of final object. Like *Veṇīsaṃhāra*, the union of *Duṣyanta* and Śakuntalā in the seventh Act is regarded as *Phalāgama* in the *Abhijñānaśakuntalam* of *Kālidāsa*. In this way in the three dramas of *Kālidāsa* the permanent union of hero and heroine is the stage or *Avasthā* of *Phalāgama*.

Sandhi is formed by the combination of five Arthaprakṛtis and five stages. From the Bīja and ārambha, mukha-sandhi is emerged. This sandhi is starts immediately after the Prastāvanā. In this Sandhi the Bīja opens like a mouth with full of rasa and meaning. Like other dramas after Prastāvanā, Mukha -Sandhi is seen in the first Act of the drama Veṇīsamhāra. In this act, aim and objectives of Pāṇḍavas to defeat kaurava are exhibited. Yudhiṣṭhira declares the war against the Kauravas and Bhīmasena expressed his desire to bind up the hair of Draupadī with the blood of Duryodhana in this very Act. Pratimukha is that Sandhi which is found after Mukhasandhi. It includes bindu and yatna. In the Pratimukhasandhi the Bīja or seed becomes little bit there distinct than the Mukhasandhi. This is the main instrument of the final fruit. In the second Act of Veṇīsamhāra, the entrance of Jayadrathas mother in the

^{12.}cf. cañcadbhujabhramitacandagadābhighātasamcūrņitoruyugalasya suyodhanasya. styānāvanaddhaghanasonitaśoṇapāṇiruttamsayisyati kacāmstava devi bhīmah. V.S ,M.R.Kale, 1st Act,sl-21,p-21

Bālodyāna is Bindu. Which is seen after the Bīja being represented by the hot arguments of Bhīmasena and Yudhiṣṭhira's consent for battle. Because it is the cause for the fruit which is the defeat and consequent death of Kauravas and to tie up the hair of Draupadī in the concluding part of the drama. The next one is Garbha- Sandhi which represents Prāptyāśā as Patākā. Garbhasandhi is so named, because it is the central point for the development of a drama. According to the definition of Bharatamuni Garbha is specially meant in three forms Prāpti (attainment), Aprāpti (unattainment) and anveṣan i.e. discovery. The developments related to hero is prāpti, aprāpti is related here on the rival of the hero (pratināyaka) and for which there is anveṣana takes place.

According to $S\bar{a}garanandin$ this sandhi is falled in the middle so it is known as Garbha whereas $Vi\acute{s}van\bar{a}tha$ defines it Garbha because this Sandhi conceives the fruit of the drama and there the plot attains maturity. According to Bharatamuni, there are thirteen types of Garbha Sandhi. Garbha Sandhi lasts longer than the other Sandhis in $Ven\bar{i}samh\bar{a}ra$. Which covers from the third Act to fifth Act of the drama. Following are the major incidents in the drama— the death of $Dron\bar{a}c\bar{a}rya$, the verbal war in between $A\acute{s}vatth\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ and Karna, the description of war by Sundaraka, the haughty expressions of $Bh\bar{i}ma$ etc. After Garbha, the fourth Sandhi is $Vimar\acute{s}a$. This Sandhi is a combination of $Niyat\bar{a}pti$ and

¹³.cf.N.S.P,by P.S.Thakur,p-59

Prakarī. Vimarśa means to judge. In this Sandhi the attainment of result is discussed. Vimarśasandhi is the sandhi where the Bīja is manifested more clearly after the Garbhasandhi and the attainment of fruit is adjudged through anger, greed and other such vices. Bharatamuni finds twelve types of Vimarśasandhi. 14 In the fifth Act and in the first part of sixth Act Vimarśasandhi is observed. The endeavour of Dhṛtarāsṭra and Gāndhārī to persuade Duryodhana to go for alliance with Pāṇḍavas in the fifth Act is *Prakarī*, which is a hindrance on the *Bhīmasena*'s oath to kill Duryodhana. But Duryodhana rejects the proposal of his parents and the obstacle is removed. Again in the sixth Act, the episode of *Cārvāka* in the guise of a *Muni* is considered as *Prakarī*. Where *Cārvāka* tries to obstruct by spreading the remour about the end of *Bhīmasena*. However, Bhīmasena's pride and his valorous words finally achieve success and retain his vow. Therefore, it comes under Vimarśa Sandhi.

The last *Sandhi* or fifth one is *Nirvahana*. which comprises *Kārya* and *Phalāgama*. In this *Sandhi* the *Bīja* develops in full shape. According to rhetoricians their the story of a drama, beginning (from the *Mukha Sandhi*) proceeds in a properly arranged way achieving for the final aim and where it gets the result, that part is called *Nirvahana Sandhi*. *Bharatamuni* gives fourteen varieties of this *Sandhi*. In the sixth Act of

^{14 .}cf.N.S.P,by P.S.Thakur,p-61

the drama *Veṇīsaṁhāra* the entrance of *Bhīma*, whose whole body was bathed in blood with the utterance-

nihatasakalaripupakṣe tvayi nāradhipe jīvati bhīmo 'rjunaśca¹⁵ is a glaring example of Nirvahanasandhi. The killing of Duryodhana in this Act is Kārya and the collection of Draupadī's dishevelled hair to bind up Veṇī is Phalāgama.

In a nāṭaka, the subject matter is divided into three cadres viz. Sucya, Śravya and Dṛśya. According to Vidyānāth, Singabhupal and Rupagoswamī, it is of two types. They are Sucya and Asucya. Dṛśya and Śravya comes under Asucya. ¹⁶

Sucya, the another term for Arthopakṣepaka which reveals the events during the gap period (intervals) before the audience. It is not possible to show each and every scene in a nāṭaka. Some scenes are not necessary for the dramatic development in the drama. But it should be informed to the audience for uninterrupted storyline. It is known as Sucya or Arthopakṣepaka.¹⁷ There are five varieties of Arthopakṣepakas-Viṣkambhaka, Praveśaka, Culikā, Anka, Ankavatāra and Ankamukha. Viṣ́vanātha in his words-

^{15 .}cf. V.S ,by M.R.Kale ,6th Act p-164

¹⁶.cf.N-S.P ,by P.S.Thakur ,ch-v ,p- 68

¹⁷.cf.N-S.P ,by P.S.Thakur ,ch-v, p-68

vişkambhakapraveśakau.culikānkavatāro'tha, syādankamukhamityapi. 18

Vişkambhaka is the first category of Arthopakşepaka. Vişkambhaka is the informer of the events of past and indicator of future and it connects the link between the past and future incidents. It is happened in the first part of an Act in very brief form. Which is in two types—pure and mixed. Pure is played on by mediocre characters and mixed Viskambhaka is presented by the mediocre-grade and lower grade characters. The language of first one is Sanskrit and second one is mixed with Prākrit and Sanskrit. According to Bharatamuni, Vişkambhaka is ruled by the characters like- Priest, Ministers and Kañcukīn, who belong to middle class grade. 19 It is found in the *Mukha Sandhi*. Pure *Viskambhaka* is seen in the second Act of *Venīsamhāra*. It is performed by a mediocre person like Kañcukī and he speaks in Sanskrit language. The Kañcukī of Duryodhana informs the viewers that he has been ordered by Duryodhana to know where about of Bhānumatī is and to know about whether Bhānumatī has accomplished her regular morning duties of saluting the elders. The Kañcukī has come back collecting the information in this Act and supplies the same muttering by himself.

٠

¹⁸.cf.S.D, by K.M.Sastri ch-vi ,sl-54 ,p-346

¹⁹.cf.N-S.P ,by P.S.Thakur ch-v , p-69

The second category of *Arthopakṣepaka* is *Praveśaka*. *Praveśaka* is also similar to *Viṣkambhaka* and inform about the past incidents and indicative to future events to the spectators. But the only difference is in *Praveśaka* the characters are of lower class and their language is *Prākrit*. *Praveśaka* is never executed in the first Act. It is placed in between two Acts. The *Praveśaka* in *Veṇīṣaṃhāra* is a good example and is very often cited in *Sāhityadarpaṇa*. The *Paveśaka* is seen in the third Act of the drama. Where the *Rākṣasa*, the *Rudhirapriya* and his wife *Rākṣasī*, *Vasāgandhā* speak in *Prākrit* language. From their conversation, it is known that *Droṇācārya* has been killed and *Aśvatthāmā* is going for battle to take revenge with a drawn sword.

Culikā, the third category of Arthopakṣepaka gives information about the past and future incidents. In Culikā, the person who narrates the incident stay behind the curtain. There is no classification in person and they can use any language in Culikā. It is seen in the middle of the Acts. Culikā is different from other Arthopakṣepaka, because it is not performed on the stage. Several times the uses of Culikā is seen in Veṇīsaṁhāra. In the last part of third Act Bhīmasena drank the blood of Duḥśāsana is known from outside the stage i.e. behind the curtain and when Arjuna showers arrows on Duryodhana and Karṇa, Aśvatthāmā

wishes to take up his sword – all these are announced behind the curtain.²⁰

In this way there are so many examples of $Culik\bar{a}$ which are found in the $Ven\bar{\imath}samh\bar{a}ra$.

The fourth *Arthopakṣepaka* is *Ankāvatāra*. *Ankāvatāra* is seen in the ending part of an Act. Which indicates the subject matter of the following Act and the same part is continued in the next Act and that is what is called *Ankāvatāra*. *Ankāvatāra* is followed in other dramas like in *Abhijñānaśakuntalam*, which is indicated at the end of the fifth Act and is found in the sixth Act as a part of it. But there is no *Ankāvatāra* in the *Veņīsaṃhāra*.

The last one i.e.fifth *Arthopakṣepaka* is *Ankamukha*. In this Arthopakṣepaka, the summary of all the Acts of a drama is given in a single Act. There is an actor or actress, who tells the summary of the Act before starting that Act is called *Ankamukha*. This is used in the first Act of the drama. There is a difference between *Ankāvatāra* and *Ankamukha*. *Ankāvatāra* gives knowledge only in one Act but *Ankamukha* gives the knowledge of all the Acts. *Ankamukha* is exercised limitedly in *Sanskrit* dramas. In the dramas of *Kālidāsa*, *Mudrārākṣasa*

 $^{^{20}}$.cf. mahātman bhāradvājasūna na khalu satyavacanamanullanghitapūrvamullanghayitumarhasi V.S by M.R.Kale 3^{rd} Act ,p-81

²¹.cf.N-S.P by Dr P.S.Thakur,p-83

of Viśakhādatta and Bhattanarayana's Veṇīsaṁhāra the uses of Ankamukha is not found. It is noticed only in the drama of Mahāvīracarita and Mālatīmadava of Bhavabhūti.

The next variety of subject matter is Śravya or Akāsabhāṣitā, which is heard from the sky. It is performed by one person, who himself asks question turning the mouth to the sky and he will give answers as he has heard something from the sky on the stage. By which the observer may know the fact. In most of the dramas, the Akāsabhāṣitā is seen. In the second Act of Veṇīsaṁhāra also the Kañcukīn acted as it were he has got the answer from Vihaṅgikā that Bhānumatī is in the Bālodyāna for a special purpose. But Vihaṅgikā is not there on the stage, the Kañcukī himself asked the question to turn his face to the sky and gave the answer as he has received the answer. In this way many times Akāsabhāṣitā is used in the Veṇīsaṁhāra.

The other one of subject matter is *Dṛśya*. This variety also gives knowledge to the viewers. *Bharatamuni* gives four varieties of *Dṛśya*. They are *Svagatam* (ātmagatam), *Apavāritam*, *Janāntikam* and *Karne evameva*. According to *Daśarupakakāra*, it is in three types, viz-*Sarvaśravya*, *Niyataśravya* and *Aśravya*. And Viśvanātha has termed it to as Nāṭyoktiyam. In his *Sāhityadarpaṇa* –

sarvaśrāvyam prākaśam syāttadbhavedApavāritam/ rahasyam tu yadanyasya parāvṛtya prakāśyate|| tripatākakarenānyānapavāryyantarā kathām/ anyonyāmantraṇam yatsyāttajjanānte Janāntikam|| kim vravīṣīti yannātye vinā pātram prayujyate/ $\'srutvev \bar{a}nuktamapyartham\ tatsyad\bar{a}k\bar{a}\'sabh\bar{a}\~sit\bar{a}m^{22}.$

Svagatam i.e. within oneself, which is supposed not to be heard by co-actors. Such words are not possible to present in the drama, but he himself will say in this way the spectators should carry the condition of mind of the actor. It is a concern of his or her mind's speech, which is known as Svagatam or Ātmagatam. In many of the Sanskrit dramas Svagatam is found to be used in several times. Svagatam or Ātmagatam is seen several times in *Venīsamhāra* also. From that one example is in the second Act of Venīsamhāra Duryodhana himself thinking the cause of *Bhānumatī*'s excitement and such expressions are found in the drama.

Apavārita, in which something is secretly said turning back to another actor. Dhanañjaya defines it that where an actor says something secret about another actor turning his face in another side is *Apavārita*. Sāradātanaya, Singabhūpāla and Viśvanātha are agreed with the same view point of *Dhanañjaya*. The application of *Apavārita* is also seen in

²².cf. S.D by K.M.Sastri,ch-6,sl-137-140, p-400-401.

every Sanskrit drama. Like other dramas *Veṇīsaṃhāra* also uses *Apavārita* in some places. Such as in the second Act of the drama when *Bhānumatī* was explaining her bad dream then her two maids wishes for removing inauspicious things turning in a side of *Bhānumatī*.²³

The uses of Janāntika are also found in many times in Sanskrit drama. According to Nātyacarya when an actor do not desire to hear something to another actor *Janāntika* takes place. The other rhetoricians also support the definition of *Bharatamuni*. *Janāntika* means speaking something secretly by turning the palm into *Tripatākā* form in the midst of a running conversation. *Tripatākā* form is but raising the fingers other than the ring finger and thumb finger and telling something behind the actor. The audience will hear about the developments. In the first Act of Venīsamhāra, Draupadī feels happy and telling to repeat the words again in Janāntika form, when Bhīmasena was very much angry and he was against the alliance with Kauravas. He has been just ready to take revenge against *Kauravas*. And again on the utterances of *Bhīmasena* his brothers that they are feeling awkward about killing their cousins but not feeling shy for the insult faced by *Draupadī* in the royal Court. *Draupadī* in Janāntika form says that they are not feeling shy for that and wishes that *Bhīmasena* should not forget that incident.

^{23.(}anyonyamavalokya) atra nāsti stokmapi subhasūcakam. V.S. by, M.R. Kale, 2nd Act, p-39.

The last one is *Karne evameva*, where an actor tells such secret things to other actors' ear. It is also seen in the most of the *Sanskrit* dramas but it is not seen in the drama *Veṇīsaṁhāra*.

The language of a *nāṭaka* should be energetic as well as graceful and be rich in various merits as enumerated by the ancient rhetoricians. The *nāṭaka* presents, prosperous condition of the hero as well as of the theme and exhibit a variety of sentiments. In regard to the number of Acts, it is said that five to ten is as desired number.

Regarding the language of *Sanskrit* drama those who are people of high intellect and culture like, kings, brahmins, generals, ministers and learned persons and also the chief queen, are expected to speak in *Sanskrit* language. Generally women and the people of inferior stature used the *Prākrit* language. The female of high class used *Śaurasini Prākrit* and the people of lower class used *Magadhi Prākrit*. Those who are using *Śauraseni Prākrit* may use *Mahārāṣṭri* in songs (verses). In this way the different dialects, like *Sāvarī* used their language as *Sāvirī*, *Drāviḍī* as *Drāviḍian*, *Abhirī* as *Abhiras* and others use the type of *prākrit* according to their respective regions.

Bhaṭṭanārāyana follows above the rules of Sāhityadarpaṇa in his drama Veṇīsaṁhāra. In this drama, Sanskrit is used in the cases of high class persons. Sauraseni Prākrit is mainly used in the drama. Śaurasenī

was indeed the *prākrit* of the *Madhyadeśa*, which is known with the regions around Mathura²⁴. Where the Brāhmanical Aryans or the Budhists flourished. Śaurasenī Prākrit is followed in the cases of cetī (Buddhimatikā), the attendant of Draupadī. Suvadana (friend of Bhānumatī, Taralikā, the attendant of Bhānumatī, Pratihārī, Duhśalā, Sundaraka in the fourth Act and Gāndhārī in the fifth Act. Hence most of the times. Śaurasenī Prākrit is used in the dramas.

In a Sanskrit drama, there must be a hero. Heroes in general, there are four types— *Dhīrodātta*, *Dhīroddhata*, *Dhīralalita* and *Dhirapraśānta*. As in the *Sāhityadarpaṇa* –

dhīrodātto dhiroddhatastathā dhiralālitaāca dhīraprasānta ityayamuktah prathamaścaturbhedah.²⁵ *Dhīrodātta* is define in this way-avikatthanah kṣamāvānatigambhīro mahāsattvaḥ stheyānnigūdamāno dhīrodātto drdavratah kathitah²⁶

who is modest, courteous, well brought up, calm, self controlled, compassionate and stead fast. As in the example of *Dhīrodātta*, *Rāma* in the *Uttararāmacarita* and *Yudhiṣṭhira* in *Veṇīsamhāra* are found. The definition of *Dhīroddhata* is in the *Sāhityadarpaṇa* –

26 .cf. ibid,sl-32

^{24.}cf.B.I,by Sukumar Sen ,p-106-107 25.cf.S.D ,ch-III,sl-31 p-97

māyāparaḥ pracanḍaścapalo 'hankāradarpabhūyiṣṭhaḥ

ātmaslāghānirato dhīrairdhīroddhataḥ kathitaḥ²⁷

Who is violent, unsteady, boastful of brave and strong personality.

Like Parsurāma, Bhīmasena, Rāvana and others fall under the category

of Dhīroddhata or haughtiness. In Veṇīsamhāra Bhīmasena is the

Dhīroddhata type of hero. Remaining the others two one is *Dhīralalita* as

in the line.

ņiścinto mṛduranisam kalāporo dhīralalita syāt.²⁸

The other one is *Dhīrapraśānta* which defines.

sāmānyaguņairbhūyān dvijādiko dhirapraśāntaḥ syāt.²⁹

Vatsarāja, the hero of Ratnāvalī is found as an example of

Dhīralalita. The last one is *Dhirapraśānta*, where the hero possessing

many good qualities like sweetness, humility, ordinarily a Brāhmin, as

Mādhava in Mālatīmādhava. The hero of the drama must be an

accomplished person of high race belonging to the *Dhīrodātta* type. He

must be a hero either of the earth or of heaven and sometimes one even

finds in a *Sanskrit* drama divine heroes side by side with mortals and thus

ample scope is given to the dramatist's imagination to create the

appropriate romantic atmosphere.

27.cf.S.D,ch-III, sl-33,p-98

28 .cf. ibid,sl-34

29.cf.S.D by K.M.Śāstrī, sl-34,p-98,ch-III.

43

The $N\bar{a}yik\bar{a}^{30}$ or the heroine is in three kinds. The wife of the hero is $Sviy\bar{a}$, as $Sit\bar{a}$ in the $Uttarar\bar{a}macarita$. $Sviy\bar{a}$ is found in three types. They are- $mugdh\bar{a}$, $madhy\bar{a}$ and $pragalbh\bar{a}$. Annya or $Parakiy\bar{a}$, a maiden who lives under guardianship, as $Padm\bar{a}vat\bar{\imath}$ in the first and second Act of $Svapn\bar{a}vasavadatt\bar{a}$. It is also divided into two by $Vi\acute{s}van\bar{a}tha$ -

parakiyā dvidhā proktā paroḍā kanyakā tatha³¹

The other one is $S\bar{a}m\bar{a}ny\bar{a}$ or $S\bar{a}dh\bar{a}ranastr\bar{\imath}$, i,e a common woman, as an example $Vasantasen\bar{a}$ is found in the Mrcchakatikam. Draupadī, the heroine of the $Ven\bar{\imath}samh\bar{a}ra$ falled under the category of $Sviy\bar{a}^{32}$ who is the wife of the hero in the drama. As a heroine she has assistants like Sakhi, $Cet\bar{\imath}$ etc.

Rasas or sentiments which are considered as the soul of Kāvya or poetry. According to the law of dramaturgy, in a drama there may be occurrence of more than one rasas but there must be one Principal sentiment or rasa and that must be either Sṛṅgāra (love) or Vīra (heroic). Other rasas if any, should be subsidiary to the Principal one. As the main interest in Sanskrit dramas lies in the creation of the sentiment, specifically heroic or love—

eka eva bhavedangī sṛngāro vīro eva vā

nāyakasāmānyagunairbhavati yathāsambhavairyuktā. S.D by K.M.Sastri, ch-III sl-56,p-111

^{30.}cf.atha nāyikā trivedā svānyā sādhāraṇā strīti

^{31 .}cf.S.D, by K.M.Sastri ,ch-III ,p-123

^{32.}cf.vinayārjavādiyuktā grhakarmaparā pativratā svīyā . S.D ,K.M.Sastri,ch-III,p- 111

angamanye rasah sarve kāryo nirvahane'dbhutah³³

Ānandavardhana is also in the same view like Viśvanātha, the one sentiment should be the principal and others should be subordinate. In his Dvanyāloka-

prasiddhe'pi pravandhanam nānārasanibandhane eko raso'ngīkartavyastesamutkarṣamicchratā.³⁴ Ch-III.21

Regarding the classification of *rasas* there are nine in number. They are *Sṛngāra*, *Hāsya*, *Karuṇa*, *Raudra*, *vīra*, *Bhayānaka*, *Bibhatsa*, *Adbhuta* and *Śānta*. But *Bharata* did not included *Santa*. According to him there are eight types of *rasas* in his *Nāṭyaśāstra*.

sṛṅgārahāsyakaruṇaraudravīrabhayānakāḥ bibhatso'dbhutaityaṣtau rasā nāṭye iti smṛtāh.³⁵

Except *Bharata* most of the other rhetoricians like *Abhinavagupta*, *Mammata*, *Ānanadavardhana* etc accept the *Santa rasa* as the ninth sentiment along with the other sentiments. According to *Ānandavardhana* the Principal sentiment of *Mahābhārata* is Śānta. Where *Vīra rasa* is considered as the main *rasa* in the *Nāṭaka Nāgānandam*. Similarly *Veṇīsaṃhāra* is a drama where the predominant sentiment is heroic or Vīra. In this way *Uttararāmacarita* is popular for the *Karuṇa rasa*.

³³ .cf.S.D by K.M.Sastri ,ch-vi , sl-10 ,p- 321

³⁴.cf.The V.S by Lt.col.A.B.Gajendragadkar,p-72

³⁵ .cf.S.D ,K.M.Sastri,p-32 (prastavanā).

In the drama *Venīsamhāra* there are four distinguished sentiments evolved i.e. Karuna or Pathos, Srngāra or erotic, Bibhatsa or loathsome and Vīra or heroic which appears in some places as Raudra or furious. From these *Bhivatsa* is seen in the *Praveśaka* i.e. third Act of the drama. The second Act is predominated by love sentiment. First act is fully predominated by the heroic sentiment. While fourth, fifth and sixth acts are predominated by the heroic sentiment though there in the first part of the above Acts the pathetic sentiments. So it is seen that the pathetic and heroic sentiments run side by side almost in the Acts of the Venīsamhāra. Thus it may be also considered that the *Karuna rasa* is predominant in the drama. But the traditional viewers surrendered Vīrarasa as the Principal sentiment and other are subordinate in the Venīsamhāra. Vīra rasa is falled under the Sattvati Vrttī i.e. the posture of the dramatic performance. Sattvati Vrttī, which comprises vigour, strength, charity, or self sacrifice, compassion and simplicity. Again it has four varieties namely-Utthāpaka, Samghātya and Parivartaka. Vrttī as Sāhityadarpaņa –

sṛngāre kauśiki vīre sātvatyārabhaṭi puṇaḥ rase raudre ca bibhatse vṛttiḥ sarvatra bhāratī catasro vṛttaya hyetāḥ sarva nāṭyasya māṭṛkāḥ syurnāyikādivyāparaviśeṣā nāṭakādiṣu³⁶

According to *Viśvanātha* an act is a division of the drama, in which the heroic deeds of the hero are clearly perceptible and are glowing with rasa and bhāva, where the words are simple in presentation and there should be link between the smaller incidents and the main story. In the Acts the very seed of the plot does not proceed to sudden end. It grows and revolves through several incidents. It comprises comparatively a small quantity of verses, where the incidents described do not extend over a long period and in which the following scenes are to be restrained. Calling one from a far, distance massacre, battle, political and social upheaval, marriage ceremonies, having meal, uttering of curse, obscene thing, death, abduction, sleeping, drinking, bathing etc. In an act all the characters exhibit due sentiment and emotions on the stage. And all the actors remain present in the moment of leaving the stage at the end of the drama.³⁷

Bhaṭṭanārāyana as a dramatist followed all above mentioned rules, described in the Sahityadarpaṇa. Regarding Acts, the Veṇīsamhāra is of six acts. The drama also avoids the scenes like battle, death, marriage, eating etc. However those are managed to be supplies indirectly through conversation. At the end of the drama all characters remain present.

^{36.}cf.S.D ,by K.Ray p-248

^{37.}cf.pratyaksacitracaritairyukto bhavarasodbhavaih antaniskrantanikhilapatro'nka iti kirtitah. S.D, by K.M.Sastri p-324 ,sl-19

In relation to Anka, Garbhānka is a short act inside the act, consists of Rangadvāra and Āmukha which deals with the $B\bar{\imath}ja$ and Phala. It is defined in the *Sāhityadarpaṇa* –

ankodarapravisto yo rangadvārāmukhādimān anko'parah sa garbhankah sabijah phalavānapi. 38

In a drama Purvaranga comes first, before formal praise of auditorium and after that description about the dramatist also proceed along with prelude of the drama. Purvaranga is that part of drama where all the actors make some auspicious presentation, before enaction of any part of the main body of the drama. Though *Purvaranga* has many divisions like *Pratyāhāra* etc, *Nāndī*, one of its varieties helps stopping all obstacles in a drama.

Bharatamuni also referred about many auspicious application before going to start a drama. In the Nātyaśāstra, nineteen types of Purvaranga are referred which starts from Pratyāhāra and ends with *Prarocanā*. Music dance instrument and praises are principally presented in front of the curtain and behind the curtain. The intention of which is to entertain the people and it is not seen in the stage but *Nāndī* the only variety which is watchable and audible also. So in the reference of Nāţyotpatti also Brahmā, the creator mentioned about Nāndī only as a Purvaranga. Nāndī is that where the deity, Brahmā, king or the like are

³⁸ .cf.S.D, by K.M.Sastri ,sl-20 ,p-325

praised and the actors provide with ability to utter the benediction. As in the Sahityadarpana -

āśirvacanasamyuktā stutiryasmatprayujyate.

devadvijanrpadinām tasmānnanditi samjñitā.³⁹

Nāndī consists of words that are auspicious indicating to a conchshel, the moon, a lotus, a *Chakravāka* or a lily, and it is found in eight or twelve *Padas*. According to that norm the *Veṇīsamhāra* is found. In the drama Venīsamhāra three slokas are in twelve Padas. In the Mālatīmādhava, the Prakarana of Bhavabhūti is in eight Padas. The *Nāndī* placed in the *Venīsamhāra* is in the form of three poems in place of one poem. Perhaps to exhibit equal devotion of the poet for Vaisnavism and Śaivism. The first two poems are in praise of Visnu and the last one is in praise of Śiva. Here in the drama the Nandi recitation is being placed before the entrance of *Sutradhara* like the dramas of *Bhāsa*. According to some scholars this Nandi suggests the gist of the whole play. Like in Abhijñānaśakuntalam the Nāndisloka of the drama is praised for eight forms of Siva but in another side it indicates the inner meaning of the drama suggests Śakuntalā -

yā sṛṣṭiḥ sraṣṭurādyā vahati vidhihutam yā haviryā ca hotrī ye dve kālam vidhattah śrutivişayagunā yā sthitā vyāpya viśvam yāmāhuḥ sarvabījaprakṛtiriti yayā prāṇinaḥ prāṇavantaḥ

³⁹ .cf. S.D by K.M.Sastri ,ch-vi ,sl-24 , p-326

pratyakṣābhiḥ prapannastanubhiravatu vastabhirastābhirīsah. 40

In short $N\bar{a}nd\bar{\iota}$ is the benedictory verse or praise, presented at the beginning and is defined by the author of $N\bar{a}tyapradipa$ following words—

nandanti kāvyani kavīndravargāḥ kusīlavāḥ pārisadāśca santaḥ yasmādalam sajjanasindhuhamsī tasmādiyam sā kathiteha nāndī.⁴¹

The $N\bar{a}nd\bar{\iota}$ comes under the $Prast\bar{a}van\bar{a}$ or prelude. After $N\bar{a}nd\bar{\iota}$ is presented the $Rangadv\bar{a}ra$, through which the beginning of the dramatic action is signified. During this period $Sutradh\bar{a}ra$ or the stage – manager engages himself in a conversation with $nat\bar{\iota}$, the wife of $Sutradh\bar{a}ra$ or Vidusaka or $P\bar{a}rip\bar{a}rsika$ an associate. And through the discussions the title and the authorship of the drama are announced before the audience. Then $Sutradh\bar{a}ra$ leaves the stage after the entrance of a characters on the stage. That portion is called $Prast\bar{a}van\bar{a}$. $Sth\bar{a}pan\bar{a}$ and Amukha are bearing the same meaning of $Prast\bar{a}van\bar{a}$. In Bhasa's drama $Sth\bar{a}pana$ is written in place of $Prast\bar{a}van\bar{a}$. Generally the dramas start from the prelude or $Prast\bar{a}van\bar{a}$. It is an important portion of the dramatic application. $Prast\bar{a}van\bar{a}$ is of five kinds, they are $Udgh\bar{a}tyaka$,

^{40 .}cf. A.S by M.R.Kale , sl-1 ,p-2

^{41 .}cf.V.S by C.Sankara .R.Sastri, Intro-ix

Kathodghāta, Prayogātiśaya, Pravartaka and Avalagita. In the words of Viśvanātha-

udghātya(ta)kaḥ kathodghataḥ prayogātiśayastathā.

pravartakāvalagite pañca Prastāvanābhidah⁴²

Dhanañjaya and Vidyānātha considered it in three Varieties such as – Kathodghāta, Pravartaka and Prayogātiśaya. Kathodghāta is defined in the Sāhitydarpaṇa as-

sutradhārasya vakyam vā samādāyarthamasya vā.

bhavetpātrapraveśascetkathodghātaḥ sa ucyate.⁴³

The prelude of *Veṇīsaṁhāra* belongs to the class of *Kathodghata*. In which a character enters to the stage repeating the sentence uttered by the *Sutradhara*. In the *Veṇīsaṁhāra* also *Bhīmasena* has entered to the stage taking the words of *Sutradhāra* which has already been pronounced by *Sutradhāra* in the prelude -

svasthā bhavantu kururajasutāḥ sabhṛtyāḥ⁴⁴

In the prelude of the *Veṇīsaṁhāra* after the *Nāndī* verse *Sutradhāra* has shown his curiosity to introduce a new drama. At that moment *Pāripārśika*, the assistant of the *Sutradhāra* informs about the

⁴².cf.S.D ,by K.M.Śāstrī ,sl-33 ,p-335

⁴³ .cf.S.D by K.M.Śāstrī,sl-35, p-337

^{44 .}cf.V.S 1st Act ,sl-7 p-9

arrival of Lord Kṛṣṇa in the royal court of Duryodhana for the proposal of peace for which Vidura has already ordered to all actors to celebrate festivals from the background of the stage. Then feeling glad Sutradhara orders to sing a song. After that *Pāripārśika* enters to the stage and asked Sutradhāra about season basing on which he has to sing. Then he asks to sing the song basing on the autumn season. However the description of the season carries a pun. In one side it suggests the fall of *Dhārṭarāsṭrā* and in another side it means the blessings of Kauravas but Paripārśika surprised taking the meaning of former one. Sutradhara clears the doubt and says that the second meaning is indicated because Sri Kṛṣṇa has arrived to finish all the evils. Then repeating the words of Sutradhāra Bhīmasena enters to the stage from the green room. After that the main play starts and the Sutradhāra along with Pāripārśika leave the stage being frightened from *Bhīmasena*. Like *Venīsamhāra* the prelude of the Mudrārākṣasa and Ratnāvalī also belong to the Kathodghāta type of Prastāvanā.

In a drama like $N\bar{a}nd\bar{\iota}$ the beginning prayer, there should be a benedictory speech at the end which is known as $Bharatav\bar{a}kya$. Generally $Bharatav\bar{a}kya$ is read after getting the success for general prosperity and happiness. $Dhana\tilde{\imath}jaya$ has termed it as $Pra\acute{s}asti^{45}$. So the

⁴⁵.cf.N.P.S ,by Dr .P.S.Thakur ,p -15

play should end as that of opening with a praise called *Bharatavākya* which is repeated by the Principal character and contains an expression of wishes for the well being of the audience. In this auspicious verse of *Veṇīsaṃhāra*, *Yudhiṣṭhira* wishes for healthy life of all and protection of all. Which is in the text –

akṛpaṇamarukuśrāntam jivyājjanaḥ puruṣāyuṣam bhavatu bhagavanbhaktidvaitamm vinā puruṣottame. dayitabhuvano vidvadbandhurguṇeṣu viśeṣavi-tsatatasukṛtī bhūyādbhūpaḥ prasādhitamaṇḍalaḥ. 46 api ca-avanimavanipālāḥ pāntu vṛṣṭim vidhattām jagati jaladharālī śasyapūrnāstu bhūmiḥ. tvayi muranarakāraubhaktiradvaitayogā-dbhavatu mama sudirgham havyamaśnantu devāḥ. 47.

Bhaṭṭanārāyana has also acquired the fame of a star of second preference in the galaxy of Sanskrit dramatists. Though he has not earned the brilliance. Like Kālidāsa and Bhavabhūti for their drama Abhijñānaśakuntalam and Uttararāmacarita. But the drama is popular more due to the nature of the plot of the drama than to its natural merits. The main source of the drama, the great epic

^{46 .}cf.V.S ,M.R.Kale ,sl-46 , p-169

⁴⁷ .cf. ibid

Mahābhārata itself is popular. When the author adds the new characters in a dramatical form, it assumes more realistic and fascinate people. Veṇīsaṁhāra is read more than the epic by the common people. Veṇīsaṁhāra proves as a popular drama like Ratnavali of Srīharṣa for utilization of illustration in various purpose by the dramaturgist in their works.

"The *Balarāmayana* of *Rajasekhara* (900A.D.) and the Candakauśika of *Kṣemiśvara* (900A.D.) show clear traces of the influence of the *Veṇīsaṃhāra* "48.

In this drama, it is observed that the author has got a special province in the delineation of sentiments. He is sometimes compared to the level of *Bhavabhūti* for his pathos though the *Veṇīsamhāra* is known as a drama based on heroic sentiment. Like *Aśvatthāmā* in the first half of the third Act, *Duryodhana* in the fourth Act and fifth Act, *Yudhiṣṭhira* and *Draupadī* in the sixth Act reflect the pathetic sentiment. In the drama, all the characters bear a special attention to the spectators. *Bhaṭṭanārāyana* proves as a proficient dramatist for delineating sentiments and portraying the brilliant characters in his drama. The first Act has been success in a high degree because the seed is well established in this Act. Even the next two Acts also successful where the spectators

 $^{^{48}}$.cf.V.S by Late Lt.col.A.B .Gajendragadkar ,viii ,p-95

enjoy romance between love-lorn *Duryodhana* and *Bhānumatī*. While creating pathos in Aśvatthāmā and his quarrel with Karṇa, it seems that the storyline turns to another temperament i.e. from the main objective of tying of *Drapaudi*'s hair. However, the vow of *Arjuna* to kill *Jayadratha* and Bhīmasena's declaration from behind the curtain to drink the blood of *Duḥśāsana* etc. bring the story closer to the main purpose. The author tries to link the detached Acts to the main theme. The fourth Act being narration gives detail information about war. Though the fifth Act is in full of action but it is also not free from defects in its structure for its unmatching dramatic scenes. The last Act also hurriedly proceeded. The first scene is covered by a Viskambhaka. Thus it is observed that Venīsamhāra lacks a little in a dramatic point of view. Bhattanārāyana also shows his limitation in delineating the characters in the drama rationally. The author exhibits less proficiency in delineating the characters. He has given more importance with greater care to Duryodhana than the hero Bhīmasena. Like the hero in the case of heroine also he has shown his importance and care to *Bhānumatī* than *Draupadī*. But *Bhānumatī*'s character is limited only in the second Act. Similarly the lack of the sense of proportion is shown in creating the character of Sundaraka. As a soldier, he should be educated and cultured enough to be able to speak in Sanskrit. But all the information by Sundaraka about battle was given in Prākrit.

A careful reader of *Veṇīsaṃhāra* can observe the author's familiarity with the stage–craft. The author has managed very skilfully the exit and entrances of different characters on the stage. The dramatist introduces another character during the course of drama already when other actors are to avoid the lazyness on the stage. In the first Act of the drama, when *Draupadī* is with her maid then already *Bhīmasena* and *Sahadeva* are there and the dramatist uses the stage in a beautiful manner. In the third Act, when *Krpācārya* is required to speak in the course of time *Aśvatthāmā* was already present there. In the fourth Act when *Sundaraka* was in a long speech then also *Duryodhana* was present on the stage in a pause on his chariot.⁴⁹

Lastly in concern of description of nature, the author has not described much more about the nature in the *Veṇīsaṁhāra* except the description of the autumn in the *Prastāvanā* of the drama. After that the early morning phenomena is narrated in the second Act of the drama. ⁵⁰ In the same Act, the author narrates about the stormy wind⁵¹ in the drama.

⁴⁹ .cf.yathātraiṣa

vividharatnaprabhāsamvalitasūryakiraṇaprasūtaśakracāpasahasrasampūritadaśadisadiśāmukho lūnaketuvamso ratho dṛśyate tathāham tarkayāmyavasyametena mahārajaduryodhanasya viśrāmoddesa-

na bhavitavyamiti. V.S by M.R.Kale, 4th Act, p-90

⁵⁰.cf. tatohamāryaputrasya prabhātamangalatūryaravamiśrena vāravilāsinīsangītasabdena pratibodhitāsmi. V.S by M.R.Kale ,2nd Act ,p-39

^{51 .}cf.dikşu vyūdānghripāngastrņajatilacalatpānśudaṇḍo'ntarikṣe jhānkārī śarkarālaḥ pathiṣu viṭapinām skandhakāṣaiḥ sadhūmaḥ. pṛāsādānām nikuñjeṣvabhinavajaladodgāragambhiradhīra-

Then in the fourth Act, a *Nyāgrodha⁵²* tree on the bank of a lake is described. From the above observation, it is noticed that the author's attitudes towards nature is not spontaneous but for the interest of scholasticity only he describes the nature.

⁵² .cf.aye ayamasau sarasīsarojavilolanasurabhiśitalamatariścasambāhitasandrakisalayo nyāgrodhapādapaḥ.V.S by M.R.Kale ,4th Act,p-83