CHAPTER – V

Subjects studied in the Vedic and Buddhist Period

Subjects studied in the Vedic and Buddhist Period:

Samhitā Period:

Subjects studied during the Vedic period is an effort to find out an outline of the objective scope of education in those days. The concept of syllabus oriented education, as found in modern days, of course was not there. In course of time i.e., during the post Vedic period, some coinage of the subject came up which is the basis of the chapter.

At the early stage of the *Vedas*, the Seers acquired knowledge through long austerities and visioned the *Rk*, *Sāma*, and *Yajus* which initiated the early stage of education. *Rk* VII/35/14 states that the Seers visualised the new *mantras* constantly and those were transmitted among the disciples through recitation¹. But Buddhism was a new sect and had new education system. They had proper subjects of studies. They follow the Vedic education system. From the *Jātaka* stories we find that in *Takṣasilā*, the *Vedas* are the most important subjects of study. Students always choose *Vedas* instead of other Buddhistic subjects.

In the first half of the Vedic period, i.e., in the *Samhitā* period the recitation was the only method of teaching. In course of time they developed various metres i.e. *Sapta Chandamsi*. These *Sapta Chandamsi* were *Gāyatrī*, *Uṣṇik*, *Anushṭup*, *Bṛihatī*, *Paṅkti*, *Triṣṭupa*, *Jagatī*. The Rgvedic passage

litvā rudrā vasavo jusantodam hrahma knyamānam navīvah | št

¹ Ādityā rudrā vasavo juṣantedaṁ brahma kryamāṇaṁ navīyaḥ | śṛṇvantu no divyāḥ pārthivāso gojātā uta ye yajñiyasāḥ|| ŖV VII.35.14.

I.164.24 very clearly mentioned that the conjunction of the letters produced the seven metres or Sapta Chandamsi². These Sapta Chandamsi were the roots of the recitation of any text. We also find that the Rgvedic passage X.71.1 mentioned that the child in the first stage uttered those words which were free from defects³. That means, it was the indication for the study of Grammar because without the study of Grammar, the words would not be uttered flawlessly or perfectly. The same sense was conveyed by Rk X.71.3 where Vedic words were described as refined words. The speech was refined and created by the mind of the learned scholar through the proper practice of grammar⁴. So, it is clear that in the first half of the Vedic period, the Grammar and Phonetic were developed as very important subjects of study. It is a matter of fact that the understanding of the meaning of the *mantras* is necessary. The Rgveda⁵ is very clear on this point that the Veda is useless learning to him who just imitates the *mantras* without understanding the real meaning. The six Vedāngas- Śikṣā, Kalpa, Vyākarana, Nirukta, Chanda and Jyotiṣa were also developed and included in the Vedic curriculum. In the Vedic period, we find references of Gāthā, Nārāśamsī, Itihāsa and Purāṇa. Both Ŗgveda and Atharvaveda give references of Gāthā, Nārāśamsī Itihāsa and Purāṇa⁶. So

² Gāyatreṇa prati mimīte arkamarkeṇa sāma traiṣṭubhena vākaṁ | vākena vākaṁ dvipadā catuṣpadākṣareṇa mimate sapta vāṇīḥ //ŖV.I.164.24.

³ Bṛhaspate prathamaṁ vāco agraṁ yatprairata nāmadheyaṁ dadhānāḥ / yadeṣāṁ śreṣṭaṁ yadaripramāsītpreṇā tadeṣāṁ nihitaṁ guhāviḥ / /Ibid.X.71.1.

⁴ Yajñena bacaḥ padavīyamāyantāmanvavindannṛṣiṣu praviṣtāṁ |
Tāmābhṛtya vyadadhuḥ purutrā taṁ sapta rebha abhi saṁ navante || Ibid.X.71.3.

⁵ Rco akşare parame vyomanyasmindevā adhi viśve nişeduḥ/ yastanna veda kimṛcā kariṣyati......||Ibid.1.164.39.

⁶ Itihāsasya ca vai sa purāṇasya ca gāthānāṁ ca nārāśaṁsīnāṁ ca priyaṁ dhām bhavati ya evaṁ veda □ A.V.XV.6.12;

raibhyāsīdanudeyī nārāsamsī nyocanī\ suryāyā bhadramidvāso gāthayaiti pariskṭtam// RV.X.85.6.

these subjects were the part of Vedic curriculum. Like that there was an arrangement for secular and vocational education for the people in Vedic age. From the Rgvedic hymns we find reference that during the Vedic age people have various occupations⁷. For the development of economic life, people in those days choose diverse occupation. The following hymns⁸ throws light on the economic life of those days, which indicates that people choose various occupations which might have some academic exercises before opting those as occupations:

I am the Physician; papa is the Panegyrist, mamma throws the corn upon the grinding stones; having various occupations, desiring riches we remain (in the world) like cattle (in the stalls): flow *Indu* for *Indra*

Various our acts, (various) are the occupations of men; the carpenter desires timber, the Physician disease, the *Brāhmaṇa*, a worshipper who effuses Soma: flow, *Indu* for *Indra*.

With dried plants (are arrows made), with the feathers of birds (and) with glistening stones; the smith seeks a man who has gold: flow *Indu*, for *Indra*.

The draught horse (desire) a cart easy (to draw); those who invite guests (desire) merriment; the frog desires water: flow, *Indu* for *Indra*.

nānādhiyo vasuyavo'nu gāiva tasthimendrāyendo pari strava|| Jaratībhiroṣadhībhiḥ parṇobhiḥ śakunānām| kārmāro

aśmabhirddyubhirhiraṇyavantamicchatīndrāyendo pari strav|

⁷ nānānaṁ vā u no dhiyo vratāni janānām| takṣā riṣṭaṁ rutaṁ bhiṣagbrahamā sunvantamicachatīndrāyendo pari strava|| RV.IX.112.1

⁸ Kāruraham tato bhiṣagupalaprakṣiṇī nanā|
nāṇādhiyo yaṣɪnyayo'nu gāiya tasthimandrāyando

aśva volhā sukham ratham hasanāmupamantriņaḥ|śepo romaṇvantau bhedau vārinmaṇḍūka icchatīndrāvendo pari strava|| Ibid.IX.112.1,2,3,4.

Śatamśamanmayīnāṁ purāmindro vyāsyat |divodāsāya dāśuṣe || Ibid.IV.30.20.

Indra has over turned a hundred stone built cities for *Devodas*, the donor of oblations.

From the afore mentioned hymns we presumed that since the people had different occupations; so they definitely received vocational training for the prosperity of their life. So during the age, the following subjects such as Composing of verse, Agriculture, Horticulture, Animal husbandry, Science of Medicine, Science of Building, Hand crafting subjects such as Carpentering, making Carts, Chariots and draught and wagons were developed and may included in the Vedic curriculum as per the reference of the Rgvedic hymns.

Brahmanic Period:

In the Brahmanic period the subjects of study were large in number than those of the earlier Vedic age. The different new subjects and the new rules were added to the curriculum. But the earlier subjects were still popular in this age. From the Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa we find the detailed syllabus of various subjects. The Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa mentions that the Vedas including Brāhmaṇa, Āraṇyaka, Upaniṣats remained the main subjects of study. For the continuity of Vedic studies, the students daily recite Rk, Yajus, and Sāma. This Vedic study was called the Svādhyāya. With the concentration of mind, every day the students had to study the Vedas⁹. It was also called Brahmayajña¹⁰.

⁹ Aharahah svādhvāvamadhīte tasmāt svādhvāvo, dhvetavavah|ŚR.B.XI.5.6.3.

¹⁰ Svādhyāyo vai brahma-yajñaḥ |Ibid.XI.5.6.3.

Apart from the *Vedas*, the many other subjects were also included in the curriculum. The Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa¹¹ mentions that *Anuśāsana*, *Vidyā*, *Vākovākyam*, *Itihāsa- Purāṇa*, *Nārāśaṁsī*, *Gāthās*, *Ākhyāna*, *Anvākhyāna*, *Anuvyākhyāna*, and *Vyākhyāna* were the important subjects of study during the age.

Sāyaṇa¹² commenting on this passage mentions that *Anuśāsanas* were the six *Vedāṅgas* viz- Śiksā, *Vyākarana*, *Nirukta*, *Channda*, *Jyotiṣa and Kalpa* or the rules of the Grammar and etymology.

 $Vidy\bar{a}$ was the philosophical systems of $Ny\bar{a}ya$, $M\bar{\imath}m\bar{a}ms\bar{a}$ and so on. While the other authorities mentioned that it was the special science or the Science of Snakes ($Sarpa\ Vidy\bar{a}$).

 $V\bar{a}kov\bar{a}kyam$ was the theological discourses or the art of disputation. $S\bar{a}yan$ mentions that it was identical with Brahmodya, where the theologians started discussion about the spiritual matters.

Itihāsa- Purāṇas were the cosmological myths and the stories of old times. The Atharvaveda¹³ first properly mentions these two terms. Later on Brāhmaṇa and Upaniṣat explain the meaning of these two terms. They mentioned that Itihāsa and Purāna were the fifth Veda¹⁴. But Sāvana¹⁵ clearly

¹² Anuśāsanānīti|anuśiṣyante vyutpādyamte ebhirityanuśāsanāni vyākaraṇaniruktādīni ṣaḍangāni|nyāyamīmāṁsādayaḥ 'vidyāḥ'|uktiprayuktirupaṁ prakaraṇaṁ 'vākovākyam'|Sāyaṇa Bhāṣya. ŚR.B..XI.5.6.7.

The Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa edtd by Maitreyee Despande, Book-4, New Bharati Book Corporation, 2008, P. 1526.

¹³ A.V.XV.6.12.

¹⁴ Itihāsa veda.ŚR.B.XIII.4.3.12. Pūrānam vedah.Ibid.XIII.4.3.13.

explained that the *Purāṇa* means the stories of old heroes and heroines like *Purūravas* and *Urvaśī*, whereas the *Itihāsa* means the stories of universe like 'In the beginning this universe was nothing but water'.

 $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}\acute{s}ams\bar{\imath}$ and $G\bar{a}th\bar{a}s$ were the ballads or a kind of memorial hero songs. $S\bar{a}yana^{16}$ in the first place takes the two as one meaning i.e., the stanzas telling about men. But later on he refers that $G\bar{a}th\bar{a}s$ were such verses about the great Snake driven from the lake and the $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}\acute{s}ams\bar{\imath}s$ were such verses that telling about men such as Janamejaya and his horses.

 $\bar{A}khy\bar{a}na$, and $\bar{A}nv\bar{a}khy\bar{a}na$ were the short narrative and supplementary narrative. $\bar{A}nuvy\bar{a}khy\bar{a}nas$ were the explanations of mantras and the $\bar{V}y\bar{a}khy\bar{a}nas$ were the commentaries.

These were some important subjects of study during the age. Each and every student tried to specialise in these varied subjects. But these subjects were gradually swelled and became bulky with the inclusion of new subjects. This fact is proved by the dialogue between *Nārada* and *Sanat Kumāra* in the *Chāndogya Upaniṣat*. In the *Chāndogya Upaniṣat*¹⁷ *Nārada* insisted *Sanat Kumāra* on instructing him, then we find that he mentioned that he had already studied the *Rgveda*, *Yajurveda*, *Sāmaveda*, the *Atharvaveda*, the Epic and mythological poem as fifth *Veda*, Grammar (*Vyākarana*), Necrology (*Pitrya*),

¹⁵ Āpo ha vā idamagre salilamevāsa ityādikam sṛstipratipādakam brāhmaṇam 'itihāsa'|urvaśī hāpsarāḥ pururavasamainḍam cakame ityādīni purātanapuruṣavṛttāmatapratipādakāni 'purāṇam'| Sāyana Bhāṣya. Ibid.XI.5.6.7.

¹⁶ Narā manuşyāḥ tatprśaṁsāpādakāḥ lokāḥ 'nārāśaṁsthaḥ'gāthāḥ Sāyaṇa Bhāşya.

¹⁷ adhīhi bhgava iti hopasasāda sanatkumāram nāradastam hovāca yadvethe tena mopasīda tatasta urdvam vakṣyamīti sa hovāca ||Rgvedam bhagavohadhyemi yajurvedam sāmavedamārthavaṇam caturthamitihāsapurāṇam pañcamam vedānām vedam pitryam rāśim daivam nidhim vākovākyamekāyanam devavidyām brahmavidyām bhūtavidyām kṣtravidyām nakṣatravidyām sarpadevajanavidyāmetad bhagavohadhyemi|| || Ch.U. VII.I.1.

Arithmetic(*Rāsi*),Diviation(*Nidhi*),Chronology (*Kāl nirupan Vidyā*), Theology (*Vākovākyam*), the doctrine of prayer (*Deva Vidyā*), Necromancy (*Bhūta Vidyā*), the art of War (*Kṣhatravidyā* or *Dhanur Veda*), Astronomy (*Nakṣatra Vidyā*), Snake charming (*Sarpa Vidyā*) and the Fine Arts (*Deva-Jana-Vidyā*). These subjects were very popular subjects of those days. Students studied all these subjects. But in spite of varied subjects *Sanat Kumāra* mentioned that he was only *Mantravit*¹⁸. So *Sanat Kumāra* should instruct him about the highest knowledge or *Parā Vidyā*. The supremacy of the *Parā Vidyā* was declared during the age.

In the Brahmanic age $Par\bar{a}$ $Vidy\bar{a}$ or the knowledge of Absolute was the main subject of study. After getting all the knowledge, the students try to realise the highest knowledge because it was the supreme knowledge. It was the $sarva-vidy\bar{a}-pratistha^{19}$. All the authorities declared that it was the supreme knowledge technically called the $Par\bar{a}$ $Vidy\bar{a}$. Without the $Par\bar{a}$ $Vidy\bar{a}$ everything was in words. It was the essential part of education during those days. All the learned persons tried to become a master in $Par\bar{a}$ $Vidy\bar{a}$. In the Upanisat we come across several learned scholars, elderly persons approaching famous teacher for this highest knowledge or secret knowledge. In the above we already mentioned that $N\bar{a}rada$ approached Sanat $Kum\bar{a}ra$ where he admitted that he was only Mantravit and not $\bar{A}tmavit$. He was desirous to become a master in $\bar{A}tmavidy\bar{a}$ from Sanat $Kum\bar{a}ra$. In the several other examples as found in the ancient Indian scriptures we find that learned persons

¹⁹ sa brahmavidyām sarvavidyā pratistām/ Mun.U.1.1.1.

try to master in $Par\bar{a}\ Vidy\bar{a}$. In the $Ch\bar{a}ndogya\ Upanişat^{20}$, we see that where Śvetaketus' father himself realised that his son's education was incomplete because he was not a master in Parā Vidyā. He himself took responsibility to educate his son. Later on Śvetaketu and his father also approached King Pravāhaṇa Jaivali for this highest knowledge because they realised that their education was incomplete²¹. In the *Bṛhadāraṇyaka Upaniṣat*²² we find that Maitreyi, Gārgī, Ārthabhāga approached Yājñavalkya for this secret knowledge. In the Mundaka Upanisat²³, Śaunaka, who is described as great scholar also approached Angirasa for this special knowledge. From these above mentioned examples it is clear that besides the other useful knowledge, the Parā Vidyā was the most important part of education during the age. Without this *Parā Vidyā* anyone was not regarded as a learned person. The *Upaniṣat* mentioned that Parā Vidyā cannot be gained by mere scholarship. Every individual had to develop his individual personality by rigorous discipline and also by means of controlling his senses and thereby he could be a master in Parā Vidyā. It was a long austerity. Through the eight fold Yoga- Yama (discipline consisting in truthfulness, honesty, chastity, non-injury), Niyama (self-restraint, purity, contentment, study and devotion), *Āsana* (sitting in the right place and in the correct bodily attitude or Correct posture), Prāṇāyama (regulation of breath), *Pratyahāra* (suppression of the organs of the sense),

²⁰ Ch.U.VI.1.

²¹ Yatheyam vidyeteah pūrvam na kasmimscana brāhmana uvāsa tām tvaham tuvyam vakṣyāmi ko hi tvaivam bruvantamarhati pratyākhyātumiti ||B.U.VI.2.8.

²² Yadeva bhagvān veda tadeva me bruhīti || Ibid.II.4.3; Ibid III.8.3; 2.13; IV.3-4.

²³ Atharvane yām pravadeta brahmāhatharvā tām purovācāngire brahmavidyām/Mun.U.I.1.2.

 $Dh\bar{a}ran\bar{a}$ (concentration of the attention), $Dhy\bar{a}na$ (meditation) and $Sam\bar{a}dhi$ (absorption) one can be able to master in $Par\bar{a}$ $Vidy\bar{a}^{24}$.

So in Brahmanic age subjects of study were larger in number than those of the $Samhit\bar{a}$ period and this gradual progress were till continued in $S\bar{u}tra$ period.

Sūtra Period:

In Sūtra period the subjects of study were comparatively large than the other periods. During the age all the subjects were expanded. Because the *Sūtra* age was close to Buddhist period. In Buddhist period there were gradual developments of new subjects of study. These subjects were much easier than those of the *Sūtra* period. They developed a new system of easy devotion. The vast majority of people liked this easy devotion system. They also attracted the people, who were trying hard to gain the knowledge of Vedas but they were unable to get this. So people were attracted in Buddhism for easy devotion. For this reason, there began a conflict between the two education systems. That is why, for defence, the Sūtrakāras developed easier method of instruction for the people. To acquaint the reader, the variety of subjects came in to existence. First the Śrauta Sūtras developed. These Śrauta Sūtras may be regarded as the continuation of the *Brāhmanas* especially in their ritualistic and formal aspects. Secondly Grihya Sūtras, mainly deal with the ceremonies applicable to domestic life of man and his family from birth to death. Thirdly the

²⁴ tena etasyai devatāyai sāyujyaṁ salokatāṁ jayati // B.U.I.5.23.

Dharmasūtras, deal with the customs of our daily social life. And the Śulvasūtras, acquaint us the measurement required for the construction of the *Vedi* (Altar). This was also to be regarded as the earliest mathematical literature of India. These were the new invented subjects of *Sūtra* Period²⁵.

this, the traditional subjects like Vedas, Brāhmaṇas, Besides Rahasyavidy \bar{a} (the transcendental knowledge of *Upanişats* and \bar{A} ranyakas), Itihāsa, Purāņa were the indispensible part of education during the age. Manu²⁶mentioned that a twice born Brāhmaṇa had to master in all the Vedas with their Rahasyas. During the age the Vedas were the most important part of education. Manu²⁷ on this point mentions that Veda is the 'akhila dharmamula'. So for pursuing other knowledge, the Vedic knowledge was necessary. He also states that by practising the pieties of *Vedas* one is able to acquire fame and happiness in this life and excellent happiness in the next life.

For the sake of comprehending the *Vedas*, the knowledge of *Sikṣā*, Chandas, Vyākaraṇa, Nirukta, Kalpa and Joytisa were also necessary. These are collectively known as Vedāngas. According to Viṣṇu Dharmasūtra a student must acquire all the Vedas and Vedāngas by heart. If any student not studied the *Vedas* and *Vedāngas* then he degrades himself as $Ś\bar{u}dras^{28}$.

Next it is necessary to mention here that the *Sūtra* age was an age of scientific study and specialization. In this period specialisation of any branch of

²⁵ History of Sanskrit Literature by MacDonnell, Kessinger Publishing, 2006, P. 264.

²⁶ Tapoviśeṣairvividhairvrataiśca vidhicoditaiḥ / vedaḥ kṛtsnohadhigantavyaḥ sarahasyo dvijanmanā | M.S.II.165. ²⁷ *Ibid.II.6-9*.

²⁸ Evaṁ vedaṁ vadau vedānvā svīkuryāt | Viş.D.S.XXVIII.34;

Tato vedāngani | Ibid.XXVIII. 35;

Yasvanadhītavedo'nyatra sramam kuryādasau sasamatānaḥ śudratvameti ||Ibid. XXVIII.36.

learning is necessary. During the age the subjects of education were widen out and the several *Angas* of the *Vedas* were developed. So it was not possible for any particular student to study the entire Vedic literature. This led the system of specialised subjects like Geometry and Algebra, Astronomy and Astrology, Science of Anatomy, Grammar and Philology, which reached the highest points in this age. Geometry and Algebra arose out, to elaborate rules for the construction of altars. Astronomy and Astrology arose, to give proper knowledge of the position and movement of stars and planets. It was also essential to know the suitable days and hours for the performance of sacrifice. The Science of Anatomy or surgery was founded on dissection of the animal to be immolated. And the Grammar and Philology grew out, for the proper care and preservation of sacred text²⁹.

During the age caste system was also prevalent, so all the *Varṇas* were not allowed to study all the subjects. Particular courses of study were prescribed for particular *Varṇas* of students. The *Brāhmaṇa* student was able to study all the courses. But especially *Vedas* and their *angas* were meant for *Brāhmaṇas*. The *Kṣatriya* student was entitled to study the *Vedas* and *Rājnītividyā* but *Manu* states that from the learned *Brāhmaṇas* they must study the *Veda*, *Daṇḍanīti*, *Ānvīkṣikī*, *Brahmavidyā*, Trade and Agriculture, Cattle rearing, and the Science of wealth³⁰. And *Vaiṣya* students were also entitled to study the *Vedas* but the Agriculture, Trade and Commerce, Cattle rearing and

²⁹ Mookerji, R.K. Ancient Indian Education (Brahmanical and Buddhist), Motilal Banarasi Dass Publishers, Delhi 2011, P. 168.

³⁰ Traividyevyastryīm vidyād dandanītinca śāśvatīm | Ānvīsikṣikīncātmvidyām vārtāramvāmśca lokatah |/M.S.VII.43.

Science of wealth were the main subjects of their study. Because *Manu*³¹ states that Rearing of cattle (sheep, etc.) gift-making, performance of sacrifices, study (of the *Vedas*), merchandise, money-lending and agriculture are the duties of *Vaiśya*. So they had to study all these above mentioned subjects.

During the age the other few subjects also began to develop as a result of their freedom of thought and study. The *Pāṇini* Grammar, the Commentary of *Patanjali* or *Patanjali Mahābhāsya* and the *Kautilyas' Arthaśāstra* were the creation of this age. Along with this, the study of Philosophy and Metaphysics, which had its origin in *Vedic Saṃhitās* also developed and the six systems of Indian Philosophy viz. *Purva Mīmāmsā*, *Uttar Mīmāmsā*, *Sāṃkhya*, *Yoga*, *Nyāya*, *Vaiśeṣika* were also evolved³². We also find that Secular studies were simultaneously developed during the period. Several Fine Arts viz., Dancing, Singing, Music as well as Economics and other sciences of useful arts and trades were attaining a high water mark of perfection during the age. These Arts were cultivated mainly by Women and Śūdras³³. These treasuries of knowledge were known as *Upavedas* which had linked up with other branches of knowledge of the *Vedas*.

Epic Period:

The Epic also introduced the variegated curriculum of studies. During the age, Vocational and Professional educations received special emphasis. The

³¹ Paśūnāṁ rakṣaṇaṁ dānamijyādhyanameva ca| Vaṇikpathaṁ kusīdañca vaiśyasya kṛṣimeva ca|/Ibid.I.90.

³² Manusamhitā, edtd by Dr.Manavendu Bandoyapadhya Sastri, Sanskrit Pustak Bhandar, Kolkata, 1419, P 141.

³³ sā niṣṭhā yā vidyā strīṣu śūdreṣu ca| Āp.D.S.II.11.29.11.

hermitage education system was popular during those days. In one hermitage there were several departments like department of *Veda*, department of *Rājnīti*, department of Astronomy and department of transport³⁴. This departmental education system shows that during the age the courses of study were become numerous and variegated. Basically both the epics, the Rāmāyana and the Mahābhārata, mention that during the age Veda, Vedāngas, Dhanurveda, Nitiśātra, Vārttā, Dandanīti, Anvīkṣikī, Music, Poetry, Itihāsa, Purāṇa, the lore of elephant and chariots, Langhana (Jumping), Plavana (Swimming), Lekhya (Writing), Ālekhya (Painting), Śabdaśāstra, Yuktiśāstra, Gāndharvaśāstra and Astanga Āyurveda were the chief subjects³⁵. During the age all the students were not allowed to study all these varied subjects. Specialisation of course was necessary. The each Varna had their own profession. According to differentiation of Guna and Karman the each Varnas were developed³⁶. For their profession they choose their own subjects and become specialized in that subject.

The *Brāhmaṇas* were the priest. Their main duties were studying, teaching of *Vedas*, performance of *Yajña* for themselves as well as others, receiving and giving gifts³⁷. They guide the entire community. They also undertake the responsibility of the education of the *Kṣatriyas* and *Vaiśyas*. That

³⁴ Mookerji, R.K. Ancient Indian Education (Brahmanical and Buddhist), Motilal Banarasi Dass Publishers, Delhi 2011, P.333.

³⁵ Trayī cānvikṣikī caiva Vārttā ca bharatarṣabha |daṇḍanītiśca vipulā vidyāstatra nirdirśitā|| M.Bh.Śhānti.59.33;

yuktiśāstra ca te jeñyam śavdaśāstram bhārata | Ibid. Anu 104.147;

Gāndharvaśāstra ca kalāḥ parijñeyā narādhipa |purāṇamitihāsaśca tathākhyānāni yāni ca||Ibid Anu.104.148;Rām I.80.27.

³⁶ Cāturvarṇyaṁ mayā sṛṣṭaṁ guṇakarmavibhāgaśaḥ || Śrīmatbhagvadgītā.IV.13.

³⁷ Yajanam yājanam caiva tathā dānapratigrahau |adhyāpanam cādhyayanam ṣaṭkarmā dharmabhāg dvijah|/M.Bh.Anu.141.65.

is why, they studied all the subjects. But they were specially trained in the The Mahābhārata³⁸ gives reference on this point that 'Traividyo brāhmaņo vidvān.....eṣa smṛto dvijaḥ'. That means the Brāhmaṇa who have the knowledge of three Vedas become actual Brāhmana. The Ksatriyas were the rulers. The defense, protection of people and administration was their main duty³⁹. For the efficient performance of such responsibility, the training of intellectual faculties, military education, knowledge of *Dharmaśāstra*, *Nitiśāstra* were essential. But greater importance was given to the knowledge of Vedas and military training. The Rāmāyana contains a reference to the military training of King *Daśaratha*'s sons during their boyhood⁴⁰. Like that in the Mahābhārata we also find the military training of Kauravas and Pāṇḍavas. Guru Droṇācārya imparts education to Kauravas and Pāṇḍavas in a very short time⁴¹. As regards the *Vaisyas*, agriculture, animal husbandry, and trade was their chief occupations. That is why, they studied all the *Vārttāśāstras*⁴². But it is also natural that a student was not able to study all the subjects. That is why, in the Mahābhārata⁴³ Mahātmā Bhīsma suggested his grandson Yudisthira that he had to study the Vedas Rājnītividyā, Tarkaśāstra, Vārttāśāstra and Dandanīti because it was expected that a King must be proficient in all these subjects.

.

³⁸ M.Bh.Anu.141.66.

³⁹ Kşatriyasya smṛto dharmaḥ parjāpālanamāditaḥ |Ibid.Anu.141.47.

⁴⁰ Te cāpi manujavyāgrā vaidikādhyayane ratā |pitṛśuśrupaṇaratā dhanurvede ca niṣṭitāḥ| Rām.18.35-36.

⁴¹ Tato droṇaḥ pāṇduputrānastrāṇi vividāni ca| grāhayāmāsa divyāni mānuṣāni ca viryavān|| M.Bh.Adi.131.9;

gadāyuddheo'sicaryāyām tomaraprāsaśaktişu | droṇaḥ samkīrṇayuddhe ca sikṣayāmāsa kauravān|| Ibid.Adi.131.29.

⁴² Kṛṣi gorakṣā vāṇijyam vaiśyam karma svabhāvajam Ibid. Bhīṣma.40.48; vaisyasya satatam dharmah pasupalyam krsistatha/Ibid.Anu.141.54.

⁴³ Ibid.Śhānti.63.

Besides this, the *Rāmāyana* and the *Mahābhārata* give many examples where a person is qualified in diverse course of subjects. In Rāmāyana we find that *Rāma* and his younger brothers were well versed in various subjects. *Rāma* was well versed in Veda, Vedāngas, Dhanurveda, Nītiśāstra and all the other subjects⁴⁴. From the time of childhood he studied all the *Vedas* and observed the vow of *Brahmacāri* and became master in all the Śāstras. When *Rāma* went with Viśwāmitra to kill the demons, he learnt various kinds of sciences of missiles and weapons and became master in all the weapons⁴⁵. During this time he learnt the two mantras called Valā and Ativalā which were the mother of all learnings⁴⁶. He was profoundly proficient in *Dhanurveda*. He was first class charioteer and expert in riding elephant⁴⁷. He was a master in all those arts that are specially studied for travelling purpose. He was also proficient in poetry, philosophy, music and in fine arts⁴⁸. It was matter of fact that $R\bar{a}ma$ was proficient in all these varied subjects because during the time of intervals he used to cultivate the $\dot{s}\bar{a}stras$ and became a master in all these varied subjects.

Lakṣmaṇa was also proficient in all the $ś\bar{a}stras$.But he was specially proficient in the art of warfare and in Nitisastra where as Bharata was proficient in three Vedas, $V\bar{a}rtt\bar{a}ś\bar{a}stras$ and in $Dandan\bar{\imath}ti^{49}$.

⁴⁴ Vedavedangatatvajno Dhanurveda ca niṣṭitaḥ||Rām.Bāla.I.14. Sarvaśāstarthatatvvñjaḥ smṛtimānpratibhānavān|Ibid.Bāla.15.

⁴⁵ Dhārayantyasurā yāni dadāmyetāni sarvaśaḥ ||Ibid.Bāla.27.13.

⁴⁶ Mantragrāmam gṛhāṇa tvam valāmativalam tathā |Ibid.Bāla.22.12; valā cativalā caiva sarvajñānasya mātarau |Ibid.Bāla.22.16.

⁴⁷ Dhanurvedavidām śresto lokeo'tirathasammataḥ | Ibid.Ayodha.1.29); ārohe vinaye caiva yukto vāraṇavājinām |Ibid.Ayodha.1.28.

⁴⁸ gāndharve ca bhuvi śreṣṭo vabhuva bharatāgrajaḥ//Ibid.Ayodha.2.34.

⁴⁹ Eṣeo'sya lakṣmaṇanaye yuddhe ca kuśalaḥ sarvaśastrabhṛtām varaḥ|| Ibid.Yuddha.28.25.

 $R\bar{a}jar$ $\bar{s}i$ $Da\acute{s}aratha$, the father of $R\bar{a}ma$ and his brothers was well versed in all the $\acute{s}\bar{a}stras$. But he was specially specialised in the Veda and the $Ved\bar{a}ngas$.

 $R\bar{a}vana$, the king of $La\tilde{n}k\bar{a}$ was proficient in Veda and $Veda\bar{n}gas^{51}$. His son Indrajit and Prince $Ak\bar{s}a$ were well versed in the art of warfare. Indrajit was very proficient in the use of the $Brahm\bar{a}\acute{s}tras$ where as $Ak\bar{s}a$ was proficient in aiming and throwing the arrows 52 .

Hanumāna the minister of Sugriv was a Vedic scholar. He observed life time the bow of Brahmacāri. His educational attainment was so impressive that Rāma also appreciated his talent. He was a Panḍita and master in all the Vedas, Vedāngas, Nitiśāstras, Dharmaśāstras, art of warfare, Nyāya and in statecraft. He was a great grammarian because he never used wrong and unsuitable words. His speech was very fluent and clear. He also knew Ayurvedaśāstra. His debating skill was so impressive that he surpassed Bṛhaspati the guru of Gods⁵³.

The twin sons of $R\bar{a}ma$ and Sita, Lava and $Ku\acute{s}a$ were also highly talented. They were both educated at the hermitage of $V\bar{a}lmik\bar{\iota}$ or $V\bar{a}lmik\bar{\iota}$ or $V\bar{a}lmik\bar{\iota}$ or $V\bar{a}lmik\bar{\iota}$ gave them specialized training. They were expert in

⁵⁰ Tasyām puryāmyodhyāyām vedvitsarvasamgraḥ.....tayā daśaratho rājā vasañjagadapālayat|| Rām.Bāla.6.1-4.

⁵¹ Ibid.Yuddha.110.

⁵² Tamastra vicchastravidām varistah.....pitāmahārāghañsancitāstrah// Ibid.sundra.48.2; tataḥ sa viraḥ sumukhānpatatriṇaḥ suvarṇapunkānasaviṣānivīragān | Samādhisamyogavimokṣatatvavit śarānatha trīnkapimūrdhanyapātayat ||Ibid.Sundara.47.14.

⁵³ yo brāhmamastram vedāśca veda vedavidām varaḥ |Ibid.Yuddha.28.21. samūtravṛṭyarthapadam mahārtham.....vaiśārade chandogatau tathaiva||Ibid. Uttara.36.45; Sarvāsu vidvāsu tapovidhāne praspardhateyam hi gurum surānam |Ibid.Uttara.36.46.

Vedas, Vedāṇgas, and were very much comprehensive in knowledge in music, poetic and in elocution⁵⁴.

In the *Mahābhārata* we meet with similar account. On the educational attainments of *Kauravas* and *Pāṇḍavas* we find that all the Princes were proficient in their own field. *Duryodhana* and *Bhīma* were expert in mac fighting⁵⁵. *Nakula* and *Sahadeva* were expert in swords⁵⁶. *Dharmarāj Yudhistḥira* was expert in *Vedas* and *Dharmaśāstras* but he was highly expert in driving horse and chariots. And *Arjuna* was an unrivalled bowman⁵⁷. They also studied the other *Śāstras* but they were specially trained in their own filed. *Droṇācārya*, the son of *Ŗṣi Varadvāja* was the *guru* of *Kauravas* and *Pāṇḍavas*. *Mahātmā Bhīṣma* appointed him as their guru. He was master in all *Vedas* and *Vedāṅgas*. From *Parśurāma* he had learnt all the *Astra vidyās* and the *Dhanurvedaśāstra*⁵⁸. *Kauravas* and *Pāṇḍavas* received all education from *Guru Droṇācārya* within a very short time.

Mahātmā Bhīṣma was expert in all the śāstras. He learnt all the Vedas and Vedāṅgas from guru Vaiśiṣṭha. He was an unrivalled bowman and master in Dhanurvedaśāstra, and in Yudhaśāstra. As a warrior he was equal to Devrāj Indra⁵⁹. Like that Pāndu was an expert Dhanurveda. Bidura was an expert in

Sāngaṁ ca vedamadhyāpaya kiñcidutkrānta —śaiśavau| svikṛtiṁ gāpayāmāsa kaviprathamapaddhatim||Raghuvaṁśam.XV.33; tau tu gāndharvatattvajñau murchanāsthānakovidau | Bhrātarau svarasaṁpannau|| Rām.Bāla.IV.10.

⁵⁵ Droṇasya tu tadā śişyau gadāyogyau babhūvatuḥ |M.Bh.Ādi.131.61.

⁵⁶ tathāpi puruṣānanyāna tsārukau yamajāvubhau||Ibid.Ādi 131.62.

⁵⁷ Yudhişthira rathaśrestah sarvatra tu danamjayah/ Ibid..Adi.131.63.

⁵⁸ Vedvedāṅgavidvān sa tapasā dagdhkilbaṣaḥ|Ibid.Ādi.129.45; pratigrhaya tu tatsarvaṁ kṛtāstrao dvijasattamaḥ|Ibid.Ādi.129.66.

⁵⁹ Vedānadhijage sāṅgan vasiṣṭādeṣa viryavān | kṛtāstraḥ parameṣvāso devrājsamo yudhi ||Ibid.Ādi.100.35.

Dharmaśāstra and in Nitiśāstra whereas Dṛtharāstra was an expert in his own strength⁶⁰.

During the age various other subjects like Yuktiśāstra, Śabdaśāstra, Gandarvaśāstra, Itihāsa, Purāṇa, Ākhyāna, Hastisūtra, Aśvasūtra, Rathasūtra, Yantrasūtra, Nāgaraśāstra, Nitiśāstra, Pañcharātraśāstra, Mahābhāṣya, Ganitvidyā, Vanaspatividyā, Astvavidyā and Yudhavidyā also receive importance⁶¹. During the age subjects of study were divided in to two parts-Primary course and the Secondary course. In primary course the students generally studied all the Vedas and Vedāṅgas and in Secondary course they studied all the Śātras and became proficient in their own field. In the Mahābhārata we find that Pāṇḍavas and Kauravas before started their secondary education they learnt the entire primary course from Kṛpācārya⁶².

Buddhist Period:

The Buddhist education system also introduced very rich curriculum of studies. They also started with scriptures. Their principal and prominent subjects of study were the *Sutta Pitaka*, *Vinaya Pitaka* and *Abhidhamm Pitaka*⁶³. These three were the core subjects of study. All the students had to learn by heart these subjects. Besides these, many other subjects were also included in the Buddhist curriculum.

During the age education system was divided into Primary course and Secondary course. Hiuen Tsang and I-tsing the two Chinese travellers very

⁶⁰ Pāṇḍurdhanuṣi vikrānto nareṣvbhydhiko'bhavatdharmanityastathā rājan dharme ca paramaṁ gath//M.Bh.Ādi. 108.21-22.

⁶¹ Misra, Babulal. Mahabharat kain siksa pranali, Pratibha prakasan, Delhi, 2003, P.48.

⁶² M.Bh.Ādi.129.23-25.

⁶³ Mahāvagga.IV.15.4.

clearly mentioned that during the age the students had to learn the primary courses; after that they received the secondary courses of knowledge. I-tsing mentioned that the education of the child began at six years of his age. From the very beginning of his education, the students first studied the *Siddhirastu*⁶⁴. This *Siddhirastu* contained the *Sanskrit* alphabet and syllables arranged in *ślokas*. Next at the age of eight, the child learnt the *Sūtras* of *Pāṇini*. This took the eight months to finish. After gaining the knowledge of alphabet and *Sūtras* of *Pāṇini*, from ten to fifteen years the student learnt the *Dhātu*, *Khilas and Kāśikāvṛitti*⁶⁵. These were the *ślokas* and commentaries which were composed by learned *Jayāditya*, a man of very striking literary power.

Next the student began to learn the composition in prose and verse, logic, metaphysics and the introductory work composed by *Nagārjuna* called *Nyāya-dvara-taraka-śāstra*. And at the end of the elementary course, the students studied the five *vidyās* viz. *Śabdavidyā*, *Śilpasthānavidyā*, *chiktsāvidyā*, *Hetuvidyā*, and *Adhyātmavidyā*⁶⁶.

Having finished the elementary courses, the students studied the secondary courses. In the secondary courses included with Grammar, $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}sya$, $Bhartrihari-Ś\bar{a}stra$, Bhartrihari's $V\bar{a}kyap\bar{a}diya$, and Bhartrihari Beda(probably Sanskrit Beda). These Bhartrihari works probably are the slokas and commentaries which were composed by great scholar $Bhartrihari^{67}$.

⁶⁴ I-Tsing.P.170-172.

⁶⁵ Ibid.P. 175.

⁶⁶ Ibid P 127

⁶⁷ Ibid.P.178-180.

Besides these, from the Jātaka stories and from the evidence of Milinda Pañha give idea about the subjects of studies in Buddhist education system. The Jātaka stories and the Milinda Pañha mentioned that Vedas and Sippas or liberal arts were the principal subjects of study in Buddhist period. In Taksaśilā the students always studied the *Vedas* and eighteen *Sippas*⁶⁸. The *Jātaka* stories mentioned that Sippas were eighteen in number. They did not enumerate the Vedas in the Sippas. Whereas Milinda Pañha referred to nineteen Sippas, they enumerated Vedas in the Sippas. Milinda Pañha also gives the individual names of the nineteen Sippas viz., Satthas (Śāstras) which includes the four Vedas and described as Suti (Śruti), Samuti (Smṛti), Sānkhya (Sāmkhya), Yoga, Nīti (Nyāya), Viśeṣika (Vaiśeṣika), Gaṇikā (arithmetic) Gāndharba (music) Tikkicchā (Medicine), Catubbeda (fourVedas), Purāṇa, Itihāsa, Jyotiṣa, Māyā (Magic) Hetu (casuistry), Mantanā (Polity), Yuddha (militaryscience), Chandasa (prosody) and Muddā (conveyancing). Though Vedas and Sippas were the main subjects of study in Buddhist education system but some subjects were separately mentioned in the Jātakas and Milinda Pañha. These subjects were Elephant lore, Magic Charms, Spell for bringing back the dead life, Hunting, Spell for understanding all animal's cries, Archery, The art of prognostication, Charm for commanding all things of sense, divining from signs of the body and Medicine. These subjects were actually come under the Sippas, but Takṣaśilā gave special attention of these subjects. Students always

.

⁶⁸ Takkasilam gantva tayo veda aţţhārasañ ca sippāni ugganhitva Brahāchatta Jataka 336; Brāhmanakumārā ca yebhuyyena tass eva santike Sippam ugganhanti. Atheko janapadavāsi brāhmano bodhisattassa santike tayo Vede aţţaharasaca vijjaţţhānāni |Kosiya Jātaka 130; so vayappato Takkasilāya sabbsipāni uggnhitva Bārānasim paccāgantvā rājānam passi/Sattubhasta Jātaka 402.

tried to specialize these subjects. We find in the $J\bar{a}taka$ stories that a $Br\bar{a}hmana$ boy was specialized in Archery, another specialized in Magic charms⁶⁹. Like that $J\bar{v}aka$ was also specialized in Medicine⁷⁰.

During the age theoretical and practical aspects of education also receive importance. Basically the students who became mastered in Medicine, Law and Military sciences, they had to know the practical usage of their subjects. But in the Jātaka stories we also find that all the students after receiving the theoretical knowledge, they used to go to the far off places to realize the practical experience of their subjects. *Jīvaka* after seven years of his education in Takṣaśilā, his teacher suggested him to go far off places to receive the practical knowledge of his subjects⁷¹. We also find many other examples where the students first received the theoretical knowledge after that they wandered various towns, cities and villages for the practical knowledge. In the Jātaka⁷² stories mentioned that Prince of Magadha who was mastered in all the arts of Takṣaśilā, wandered through the various countries to acquire the practical use of his subjects. There was mentioned of Prince of Kośala who after receiving education at *Takṣaśilā*, travelled about the idea of mastering the practical uses of the sciences⁷³.

⁶⁹ Tadā eko bārānasībrāhmaņo mānavo takkśilāyā dhanukamme nipphattim......paṇḍito nāma ahosi |Jātaka 219;

bodhisattvo hatthācariyakule nibbattitvā vayappatto hattacariyasippe nipphattim.....upaţţhasi|Ibid.94.

⁷⁰ Takkasilā yena so vejo ten-----icchām ahaṁ ācariyo Sippaṁ sikkhitum ti. Ten he bhane Jivaka sikkhassū ti|Mahāvagga.VIII.1.6

⁷¹ Ahaṁ kho ācariya bahuṁ.....satta ca me vassani.....|teno hi bhaṇe Jivaka khanttiṁ ādāya Takkasilāya samantā yojaneṁ āhiṇdanto yaṁ kiñci abhisajjaṁ paseyyāsi taṁ āharāti| Ibid. VIII.I.7.

⁷² Darīmukha.....sabbasippāni uggnhitvā sabha samayasippāni ca sikkhissāma descārittañ ca jānissāma ti gāmanigamādisu caranta........Darīmukha Jātaka 378.

⁷³ Takkasilato nikhami, sabbasamayasippani sikkhanto ekam paccantagāmakam pāpuṇi /

But when we look at the educational institution of Buddhist period, we find that the subjects of study during the age were not only rich but were very vast in number. They offered both the Brahmanic and Buddhists subjects of study. The renowned teachers were the master of both Brahmanical and Buddhist subjects. We are told a teacher at *Takṣaśilā* from whose lips five hundred *Brāhmana* pupils learnt the *Vedas*⁷⁴. Even from the *Jātaka* stories we find that instead of Sippas the student can able to choose the Vedas as their core subject of learning. There were no restrictions. As a matter of fact during the age caste distinction did not come in the way of choosing the subjects. There we find many references that many *Brāhmin* students in *Takṣaśilā* learnt divation, magic charms, archery, science under their teachers in exclusion their own subjects⁷⁵. The *Bhimsena Jātaka*, *Koseya Jātaka*, *Asadisa Jātaka* and many other Jātakas mentioned that Bodhisattva himself was mastered in three Vedas and eighteen Sippas. At the age of sixteen he went to Takṣaśilā and learnt the *Vedas* and *Sippas*⁷⁶.

The *Milinda Pañha* also indicates that the Brahmanical education too was existence in Buddhist curriculum. The Brahmanical subjects like the *Vedas, Vedāṅgas, Itihāsa, Purāṇa, Sāṁkhya, Yoga, Nyāya, and Vaiśiṣika* were

Brahāchatta Jātaka 336.

⁷⁴ Atit Takkasilāyaṁ Bodhisatto disāpamokkho ācāriyo hutvā pañca māṇavakasatāni mante vācesi |Nāmasiddhi Jātaka 97.

⁷⁵ Tadā eko bārānasibrāhmaņo mānavo takkaśilāyā dhanukamme nipphattim...... paṇdito nāma ahosi |Culladhanuggaha Jātaka 374.

⁷⁶ Tesu Bodhisatatto soļasavassakāle Takkasilam gantvā disāpāmokkhasa ācariyassa santike tayo vede aṭṭhārasa sippāni ca ugganhitvā issāsasippe asadiso hutvā Bārāṇassim paccāgami Asadisa Jātaka 181;

Bodhisttassa santike tayo vede aţţhārasa|Kosiya Jātaka 130;

Takksilāya disāpamokkhassa ācariyassa santike tayo Vede aṭṭharasa vijjaṭṭhānāni ugghetvā sabbasippe hippattimpatva |Bhimsen Jātaka 80.

the main subjects of study during the age. Besides these, many other subjects like special knowledge of *Kṣatriya* was also included in the curriculum⁷⁷.

During the age *Mahāyāna* and *Hīnāyāna* the two schools of Buddhist thought were very popular. Many universities provided one branch of learning. *Nālandā* University provided *Mahāyāna* school of thought where as *Valabhī* was specialised in *Hīnāyāna* Buddhism. But both the universities did not neglect each other thought. That is why, the *Nālandā* University also provided education in *Hīnāyāna* Buddhism. Here the curriculum of some famous Buddhist Universities is given:

Takşasilā:

Takṣasilā was a centre of higher education and students went there at the age of sixteen. They provided higher education curriculum. The many Jātakas mentioned the conventional list of the subjects of study at Takṣasilā. These subjects were the Vedas, Grammar, Philosophy and eighteen Sippas. These were the principal subjects of study. Among these subjects many other subjects like Medicine, Surgery, Archery, Military Science, Astronomy, Astrology, Conveyancing, Magic, Sanke Charming, the Art of finding treasures, Law, Music, Dancing and Painting were also included in the curriculum.

Nālandā:

 $N\bar{a}land\bar{a}$ was also centre of higher education. The subject of study in $N\bar{a}land\bar{a}$ was very exhaustive. They basically provided education in $Mah\bar{a}y\bar{a}na$ Buddhism. Hiuen Tsang studied the $Mah\bar{a}y\bar{a}na$ Buddhism in $N\bar{a}land\bar{a}$

.

⁷⁷ Milinda pañha.IV.3.26:I.9.

University where as I-tsing studied $H\bar{\imath}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}na$ Buddhism in $N\bar{a}land\bar{a}$ University. $N\bar{a}land\bar{a}$ also provided Brahmanical subjects of study because Hiuen Tsang mentioned that the students at $N\bar{a}land\bar{a}$ study both the old and new books. The old and new books mentioned by Hiuen Tsang includes works such as Vedas, $Ved\bar{a}ngas$, $S\bar{a}mkhya$, $Ny\bar{a}ya$, Yoga $S\bar{a}stra$, VaiSesika and works on Buddhist $S\bar{a}stras$ with all its subdivisions. Besides this, from reference of Tibetan works of $N\bar{a}land\bar{a}$, it is evident that Tantra was very popular among the students as well as the teachers of Nalanda. The Tantra was also included in the curricula of $N\bar{a}land\bar{a}$ University. $N\bar{a}land\bar{a}$ also provided the ordinary subjects of study like $Hetuvidy\bar{a}$, $Sabdavidy\bar{a}$, $Chikits\bar{a}vidy\bar{a}$ and the works on Magic. But they thoroughly investigated the miscellaneous works.

Valabhī and Vikramśilā:

Valabhī and Vikramśilā Universities were famous for their educational activities. Both the Universities provided very rich educational facilities to their students. But we have very little information about the subjects of study in Valabhī and Vikramśilā Universities. As a matter of fact both the Universities faced many political vicissitudes, so their curricula were thoroughly destroyed for these political ups and downs. We do not find any material evidence about the curricula of Valabhī and Vikramśilā University. But it is gathered that both the Universities follow the curricula of Nālandā Universities. We also find that Valabhī was school of Hīnāyāna Buddhism. They provided education in Hīnāyāna Buddhism. They also imparted education in secular subjects like Economics, Accountancy, Politics, Medicine, and literature. Where as in

Vikramśilā University, Grammar, Logic, Mataphysics, *Tantra* and Ritualism were the main subjects of study. The Vikramśilā University had special arrangement for the study of non religious or secular subjects.

The Vedic and Buddhist education systems started with their scriptures and both aimed at over the subjects studied. It was not only religious but non religious and secular subjects were also part of the study.

Although the education systems started with their scriptures, the Buddhist education system incorporated the Brahmanical subjects too. It is a matter of fact that Buddhist education systems always tried to establish their own faith and cult. As Buddhism was a new thought and new religion. They always faced the Brahmanic challenges. For this reason they required to know more about the Brahmanical subjects and Buddhist teachers also encouraged their students to study the Brahmanical subjects. But Buddhist and Brahmanic systems did not remain antagonistic to each other. Both the systems were complimentary to each other. The Brahmanic scholars respected the Buddhist society. They also imparted education to Buddhist scholars. Brahmanic scholar also went to Buddhist University to gain the knowledge on Buddhistic subjects. We have already mentioned in the third chapter that in *Kathāsaritsāgar*, we find the reference of a *Brahmin* boy completed his education in *Valabhī* University⁷⁸.

Antaravedayāmabhūtpūrvam vasudatt iti dvijaḥ / Viṣṇudattavhidhānśca putrastasyopapadyata || Sa viṣṇudatto vayasā pūrṇaṣoḍaśavatsaraḥ/ Gamtum pravavṭte vidyāprāptaye valbhīpuram // Kathāsaritsāgar,XXXII,42-43.