# Bibliography

[1] H. Abramson and V. Dahl. Logic grammars. *Springer-Verlag, New York*, 1989.

[2] A. V. Aho, J. E. Hopcroft, and J. D. Ullman. The design and analysis of computer algorithms. *Addison-Wesley Publishing Company*, 1974.

[3] H. Alazemi and A. Cerny. Several extensions of the Parikh matrix L-morphism. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 79:658–668, 2013.

[4] F. Alexander. Automatic ambiguity resolution in natural language processing:an empirical approach. *Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg*, 1996.

[5] S. Ashrafi, M. Kabir, Md.H.and Anwar, and A. Noman. English to Bangla machine translation system using context-free grammars. *International Journal of Computer Science Issues,*, 10(3):144–153, 2013.

[6] A. Atanasiu. Binary amiable words. *Int. J. Found. Comput. Sci*, 18(2):387–400, 2007.

[7] A. Atanasiu, R. Atanasiu, and I. Petre. Parikh matrices and amiable words. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 390:102–109, 2008.

[8] A. Atanasiu, C. Martin-Vide, and A. Mateescu. Codifiable languages and Parikh matrix mapping. *J. Univ. Comput. Sci..*, 7(9):783–793, 2001.

[9] A. Atanasiu, C. M. Vide, and A. Mateescu. On the injectivity of Parikh matrix mappings. *Fundamenta Informaticae.*, 46:1–11, 2001.

[10] R. Atanasiu. Applications of Parikh matrices in coding theory. *Technical Report, University "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" of Iasi Faculty of Computer Science*, TR 10-03, 2010.

[11] R. Atanasiu. Languages attached to Parikh matrices. *Technical Report, University "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" of Iasi Faculty of Computer Science*, 2010.

[12] K. Azharul Hasan, Al-Mahmud, A. Mondal, and A. Saha. Recognizing Bangla grammar using predictive parser. *IJCSIT*, 3, 2011.

[13] G. E. Barton, R. C. Berwick, and E. S. Ristad. Computational complexity and natural language. *MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.*, 1987.

[14] A. Bharati, V. Chaitanya, and S. R. Natural language processing, a Paninian perspective. *Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi*, 1994.

[15] A. Bhattacharjee and B. S. Purkayastha. Application of ratio property in searching of M-ambiguous words and its generalization. *3rd International Conference on Soft Computing for Problem Solving ,AISC (SocProS 2013),Springer India*, 258,:857–865, 2014.

[16] A. Bhattacharjee and B. S. Purkayastha. A novel approach to construct deterministic finite state automata. *International Journal Of Engineering And Computer Science*, 16(6):1021–1027, 2014.

[17] A. Bhattacharjee and B. S. Purkayastha. Parikh matrices and words over ternary alphabet. *4th International Conference on Soft Comput-*

*ing for Problem Solving, AISC (SocProS 2014),Springer India*, 335:135–145, 2014.

[18] A. Bhattacharjee and B. S. Purkayastha. Parikh matrices and words over tertiary ordered alphabet. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 85(4):10–15, 2014.

[19] A. Bhattacharjee and B. S. Purkayastha. Some alternative ways to find M-ambiguous binary words corresponding to a Parikh matrix. *International Journal on Computational Sciences and Applications (IJCSA)*, 4(1):53–64, 2014.

[20] F. Biegler and M. Daley. On the shuffle automaton size for words. *11th International Workshop on Descriptional Complexity of Formal Systems*, EPTCS 3:79–89, 2009.

[21] I. Bolshakov and A. Gelbukh. Computational Linguistics Models, Resources, Applications. *CIENCIA DE LA COMPUTACI'ON*, 2004.

[22] J. Bongard and H. Lipson. Active co evolutionary learning of deterministic finite automata. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 6:1651–1678, 2005.

[23] P. Burcsi, F. Cicalese, G. Fici, and Z. Liptak. Algorithms for jumbled pattern matching in strings,. *International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science,WSPC*, 2011.

[24] N. Chomsky. The logical structure of linguistic theory. *Plenum Press, New York and London*, 1955.

[25] N. Chomsky. Three models for the description of language. *IRE Transactions on Information Theory*, 2(2):113–123, 1956.

[26] N. Chomsky. Syntactic structures. *Mouton and Co., Hague, The Netherlands.*, 1957.

[27] N. Chomsky. On certain formal properties of grammars. *Information and Control*, pages 137–167, 1959.

[28] N. Chomsky. The minimalist program. *Cambridge (Mass.), MIT Press*, 1975.

[29] N. Chomsky and M. P. Schützenberger. The algebraic theory of context-free languages. *Computer Programming and Formal Systems,North Holland*, pages 118–161, 1963.

[30] J. Daciuk, M. Stoyan, and R. Watson. Incremental construction of minimal acyclic finite state automata. *Computational Linguistics*, 26(1):3–16, 2000.

[31] F. D´Alessandro, B. Intrigila, and S. Varricchio. The Parikh counting functions of sparse context-free languages are quasi-polynomials. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 410:5158–5181, 2009.

[32] C. Ding and A. Salomaa. On some problems of Mateescu concerning sub word occurences. *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 72:1–15, 2006.

[33] R. Dominique. Dictionnaires et lexiques: methodes et algorithms. *PhD thesis, Institut Blaise Pascal, Paris, France*, page LITP 91.44., 1991.

[34] R. Dominique. Minimisation of acyclic deterministic automata in linear time. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 92(1):181–189, 1992.

[35] J. Dunn. Natural language versus formal language ,. *presentation at APA-ASL symposium,New york*, 1969.

[36] J. Esparza, P. Ganty, S. Kiefer, and M. Luttenberger. Parikh's theorem: A simple and direct automaton construction. *Infomation Processing letter,Elsevier*, 111:614–619, 2011.

[37] J. Fabrega. Natural language and formal languages. *Ph.D.Thesis,Llicenciat en Filosofia, Universitat de Barcelona*, 1997.

[38] G. Fici and Z. Liptác. On prefix normal words. *research report*, 2001.

[39] J. L. Giovanna, P. Giovanni, and S. Shinnosuke. Converting nondeterministic automata and context-free grammars into Parikh equivalent one-way and two-way deterministic automata. *Lect. Notes Comput. Sci.*, 7410:284–295, 2012.

[40] S. Greibach. A generalisation of Parikh's semilinear theorem. *DISCRETE MATHEMATICS,North Holland Publishing Company*, 2:347–355, 1972.

[41] R. Grishman. Computational linguistics: an introduction. *Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge*, 1986.

[42] Y. Han and K. Salomaa. State complexity of basic operations on suffix-free regular languages. *Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg*, pages 501–512, 2007.

[43] M. Haque and M. Khan. Parsing Bangla using LFG: An introduction. *IJCSIT*, 3(6), 2011.

[44] M. Hasan, A. Mondal, and Saha.A. A context free grammar and its predicative parser for Bangla grammar recognition. *IEEE Xplore , computer and information technology,(ICCIT),*, 13th International conference, 2010.

[45] J. Honkala. On Parikh slender languages and power series. *J. Comput. System Sc.*, 52:185–190, 1996.

[46] J. Honkala. On slender languages. *EATCS Bull.*, 64:145–152, 1998.

[47] J. E. Hopcroft. An n log n algorithm for minimizing the states in a finite automaton. *The Theory of Machines and Computations*, Academic Press:189–196, 1971.

[48] J. E. Hopcroft, R. Motwani, and J. D. Ullman. Introduction to automata theory languages and computation. *Pearson Education Publishing Company*, 2000.

[49] H. M. Hubey. Mathematical and computational linguistics. *Muenchen,Newcastle:LINCON Europa*, 1999.

[50] H. M. Hubey. Mathematical foundations of linguistics. *Muenchen,Newcastle:LINCON Europa*, 10:269, 1999.

[51] N. Indurkhya and F. Damerau. Handbook of natural language processing. *DAMERAU Chapman and Hall/CRC ,Taylor and Francis Group*, SECOND EDITION, 2010.

[52] J. Julie and J. Baskar Babujee. Cartesian product of automata derived from graph structures. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 90:289–303, 2012.

[53] V. Kadlec. Syntactic analysis of natural languages based on context-free grammar backbone. *Faculty of Informatics Masaryk University,Brno,*, PhD Thesis, 2007.

[54] R. Kate, Y. Wong, and R. Mooney. Learning to transform natural to formal languages. *Proceedings of the Twentieth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-05),Pittsburgh, PA*, pages 1062–1068, 2005.

[55] S. C. Kleene. Representation of events in nerve nets and finite automata. *Automata Studies,Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.*, pages 3–41, 1956.

[56] A. Kornai. Mathematical linguistics. *Springer*, 2008.

[57] K. Mahalingam and K. Subramanian. Product of Parikh matrices and commutativity,. *Int. J. Found. Comput. Sci.*, 23(1):207–223, 2012.

[58] A. Mahmud, B. Sarker, and K. M. Azharul Hasan. Parsing Bangla grammar using context free grammar:chapter 7. *Khulna University of Engineering and Technology*, pages 137–154, 2013.

[59] A. Mateescu and A. Salomaa. Matrix indicators for subword occurences and ambiguity. *Int. J. Found. Comput. Sci.*, 15:277–292, 2004.

[60] A. Mateescu, A. Salomaa, K. Salomaa, and S. Yu. On an extension of the Parikh mapping. *T.U.C.S Technical Report No 364 .*, 2001.

[61] A. Mateescu, A. Salomaa, K. Salomaa, and S. Yu. A sharpening of the Parikh Mapping. *Theoret. Informatics Appl.*, 35:551–564, 2001.

[62] A. Mateescu, A. Salomaa, and S. Yu. Subword histories and Parikh matrices. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 68:1–21, 2004.

[63] A. Meduna, L. Vrábel, and P. Zemek. Mathematical foundations of formal language theory. *Faculty of Information Technology, Brno University of Technology*, 2013.

[64] B. Melnikov and A. Melnikova. A new algorithm of constructing the basis finite automaton. *Informatica, Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius*, 13,No.3:299–310, 2002.

[65] A. Mosaddeque and N. Haque. Context-free grammar for Bangla. *Department of Computer Science and Engineering ,BRAC University,66 Mohakhali, Dhaka*, 2004.

[66] M. Mukund. Finite-state automata on infinite inputs. *TCS-96-2,SPIC Mathematical Institute, Madras*, 1996.

[67] K. Muntarina and M. A. Moazzam. Tense based English to Bangla translation using MT system. *International Journal of Engineering Science Invention*, 2(10):30–38, 2013.

[68] C. Neidle. Lexical-functional grammar (LFG). *Encyclopedia of Language and Linguistics,Pergamon Press, Oxford*, 3:2147–2153, 1994.

[69] R. Parikh. On the context-free languages. *Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery*, 13:570–581, 1966.

[70] M. O. Rabin and D. Scott. Finite automata and their decision problems. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 3(2):114–125, 1959.

[71] G. Ramos-Jimenez, J. Lopez-Muiioz, and R. Morales-Bueno. Comparisons of Parikh's condition to other conditions for context-free languages. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 202:231–244, 1998.

[72] K. Ruohonen. Formal Languages. 2009.

[73] A. Salomaa. Formal Languages. *Academic Press*, 1973.

[74] A. Salomaa. Counting (scattered) subwords. *EATCS Bulletin.*, 81:165–179, 2003.

[75] A. Salomaa. Connections between subwords and certain matrix mappings. *Theoretical Computer Science.*, 340:188–203, 2005.

[76] A. Salomaa. Independence of certain quantities indicating subword occurences. *Theoretical Computer Science.*, 362(1):222–231, 2006.

[77] A. Salomaa. Characteristic words for Parikh matrices. *TUCS Technical Report*, 928, 2009.

[78] A. Salomaa. Subword balance, position indices and power sums. *J.of CSS*, 76(8):861–871, 2010.

[79] A. Salomaa and et al. Subword conditions and subword histories. *Information and Computation.*, 204:1741–1755, 2006.

[80] A. Salomaa and Y. Sheng. Subword occurrences, Parikh matrices and Lyndon images. *TUCS Technical Report*, No 929, February 2009.

[81] R. Schott and J. Spehner. Shuffle of words and araucaria trees. *Fundamenta Informaticae,IOS Press*, XX:1–23, 2005.

[82] A. Senapati and U. Garain. Bangla Morphological Analyzer using Finite Automata. *ISI ,@FIRE MET*, 2012.

[83] V. N. Ser̆banuta and T. F. Ser̆banuta. Injectivity of the Parikh matrix mappings revisited. *Fundamenta Informaticae,XX,IOS Press*, pages 1–19, 2006.

[84] E. Shamir. A representation theorem for algebraic and context-free power series in noncommuting variables. *INFORMATION AND CONTROL, elsevier*, 11:239–254, 1967.

[85] H. Shyr and S. Yu. Bi-catenation and shuffle product of languages. *Acta Informatica*, 35:689–707, 1998.

[86] K. Subramanian and A. M. Huey. Parikh matrices of words. *Int. Conference on research trends in applications of mathematics*, Chennai, 2010.

[87] K. Subramanian, A. M. Huey, and A. K. nagar. On Parikh matrices. *Int. J. Found. Comput. Sci*, 20(2):211–219, 2009.

[88] K. Subramanian, P. Isawasan, and I. Venkat. Parikh matrices and Istrail morphism. *Malaysian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences*, 9(1):5–9, 2013.

[89] K. Subramanian, K. Mahalingam, R. Abdullah, and A. Nagar. Binary Images, M-Vectors, and Ambiguity. *Springer-Verlag Berlin,Heidelberg*, pages 248–260, 2011.

[90] H. Verma and A. Mukerjee. Probabilistic context free grammar for Hindi. *Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering,IIT Kanpur*, 2008.

[91] B. Watson. A fast new (semi-incremental) algorithm for the construction of minimal acyclic DFAs. *In Third Workshop on Implementing Automata,Rouen, France,Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer*, pages 91–98, 1998.

[92] W. Wildgen. Mathematics and grammar:a contribution to the epistemology of linguistics. *Lecture at the National Institute of Advanced Studies, Indian Institute of Science Campus,Bangalore, India*, 2009.

[93] S. Wintner. Formal language theory for natural language processing. *Department of Computer Science,University of Haifa,31905 Haifa, Israel*, 2001.

[94] D. Wise. A strong pumpimg lemma for context free languages. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 3:359–369, 1976.

[95] S. Xavier. Theory of autumata, formal languages and computation. *New Age International(P) Limited,Publishers*, 2005.