

DECLARATION

I, Kefayat Ullah, bearing Ph.D. Registration No.: PhD/2066/12 dt. 12.09.2012, hereby declare that the subject matter of the thesis entitled “TRUST COMPUTING MODELS FOR SECURITY ATTACKS IN MANET”, is the record of work done by me and that the contents of this thesis did not form the basis for award of any degree to me or to anybody else to the best of my knowledge. The thesis has not been submitted in any other University/ Institute for awarding any degree.

Place:

Kefayat Ullah

Date:

Dedicated to my parents

Alhaj Moulana Abdul Hoque and Alhajin Ayesha Begom

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I feel greatly privileged to express my deepest and most sincere gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Prodipto Das, Department of Computer Science, Assam University, Silchar, for his encouragement, stimulating suggestions and guidance during the course of my research work and in the time of writing this thesis without which this work would not have been materialized.

I am greatly indebted to Prof. Bipul Syam Purkayasthya, Head, Department of Computer Science, as well as Dean, Albert Einstein School of Physical Sciences for his valuable suggestions and tips during my research work. I would like to offer my special thanks to our Hon'ble Vice Chancellor of Assam University, Silchar Prof. Dilip Chandra Nath for his support in carrying out my research work. I wish to acknowledge the help provided by all the faculties of Department of Computer Science, Assam University, Silchar in giving valuable and constructive suggestions during the planning and development of this research work. My grateful thanks are also extended to Dr. Rajib Das, Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Application, Karimganj College, for his help during my research work.

I express my sincere thanks to all the members of our group, i.e. Wireless Research Group (WRG), especially Mr. Debasish Roy and Ariful Islam of Assam University for their cooperation.

Lastly, I would like to express my gratefulness to my family members especially to my parents Moulana Abdul Hoque and Ayesha Begum and my spouse Mrs. Begum Sajeda Aktar, whose support enabled me to complete this work.

(Kefayat Ullah)

Contents

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Declaration | |
| Certificate | |
| Acknowledgements | |
| Contents | |
| List of Figures | i-ii |
| List of Tables | iii |
| Abstract | iv |
| | |
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION | 1-10 |
| 1.1 Ad-Hoc Networks | 2 |
| 1.2 Mobile Ad-hoc Networks | 3 |
| 1.3 Motivation | 7 |
| 1.4 Objectives | 8 |
| 1.5 Major Contributions | 9 |
| 1.6 Thesis Outline | 9 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | 11-32 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 11 |
| 2.2 Routing Protocols in MANET | 11 |
| 2.3 Performance Comparison of Proactive and Reactive Routing Protocols | 16 |
| 2.4 Routing Attacks in MANET | 22 |

| | | |
|------------------|--|--------------|
| 2.5 | Trust in MANET | 24 |
| 2.6 | Different Ways to Achieve Trust in MANET | 26 |
| 2.7 | Chapter Summary | 32 |
| CHAPTER 3 | SECURE ROUTING IN MANET USING TRUST ESTIMATION MODEL | 33-52 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 33 |
| 3.2 | Evaluating Trust for Secure Routing in MANET | 35 |
| 3.3 | Algorithms | 40 |
| 3.4 | Simulation Environment | 44 |
| 3.5 | Result and Discussion | 46 |
| 3.6 | Chapter Summary | 52 |
| CHAPTER 4 | MITIGATING BLACK HOLE ATTACK IN MANET USING TRUST MODEL | 53-69 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 53 |
| 4.2 | Dynamic Source Routing | 54 |
| 4.3 | Trust Integrated Dynamic Source Routing | 59 |
| 4.4 | Results and Discussions | 65 |
| 4.5 | Chapter Summary | 69 |
| CHAPTER 5 | TRUST BASED ROUTING FOR MITIGATING GREYHOLE ATTACK IN MANET | 70-79 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 70 |
| 5.2 | Proposed Trust Based Model | 72 |
| 5.3 | Algorithm for Routing | 74 |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|--------------|
| 5.4 | Simulation Environment | 75 |
| 5.5 | Results and Discussions | 76 |
| 5.6 | Chapter Summery | 79 |
| CHAPTER 6 | AN IMPROVED TRUST MODEL FOR MITIGATING DISHONEST RECOMMENDATION PROBLEM | 80-89 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 80 |
| 6.2 | Different types of attack related to recommendation in trust frameworks | 81 |
| 6.3 | The proposed Trust Model | 82 |
| 6.4 | Selection of honest recommenders | 84 |
| 6.5 | Experimental setup | 86 |
| 6.6 | Experimental Results | 87 |
| 6.7 | Chapter Summary | 89 |
| CHAPTER 7 | CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS | 90-91 |
| 7.1 | Summery and Conclusion | 90 |
| 7.2 | Future Scope of Work | 91 |
| | REFERENCES | |
| Appendix A | List of Publications | |
| Appendix B | List of Conferences/ Workshops | |

List of Figures

| | | |
|------------|--|----|
| Figure 1.1 | Example of Wireless Ad-hoc Network | 3 |
| Figure 1.2 | A graph representing a MANET of 15 nodes | 4 |
| Figure 2.1 | Classification of Routing Protocols | 12 |
| Figure 2.2 | Simulation Steps | 18 |
| Figure 2.3 | Packet Delivery Fraction Vs. Pause Time | 19 |
| Figure 2.4 | Average End to End Delay Vs. Pause Time | 20 |
| Figure 2.5 | Normalized Routing Load vs. Pause Time | 21 |
| Figure 2.6 | a) Trust as Belief Function | 25 |
| | b) Probability Based Trust | 26 |
| | c) Fuzzy Logic Based Trust | 26 |
| Figure 2.7 | Trust Based Schemes in MANET | 27 |
| Figure 3.1 | Trust Network Graph | 35 |
| Figure 3.2 | Routing Steps | 36 |
| Figure 3.3 | Data Analysis of RREQ Packet Sent/Received | 48 |
| Figure 3.4 | Data Analysis of RREQ Packet Loss | 48 |
| Figure 3.5 | Packet Delivery Ratio with DSR Vs. SRUTEM | 49 |
| Figure 3.6 | Throughput with DSR Vs. SRUTEM | 49 |
| Figure 3.7 | PDR against Route Modification | 50 |
| Figure 3.8 | PDR against Packet Dropping Nodes | 51 |
| Figure 3.9 | PDR against Flooding Nodes | 51 |

| | | |
|------------|---|---------|
| Figure 4.1 | Route Discovery of DSR | 56 - 58 |
| Figure 4.2 | Route Reply in DSR | 58 |
| Figure 4.3 | Data Delivery in DSR | 59 |
| Figure 4.4 | Proposed System TIDSR | 60 |
| Figure 4.5 | A MANET with Three Malicious Nodes | 63 |
| Figure 4.6 | Routing Traffic | 67 |
| Figure 4.7 | Data Dropped due to Threshold Exceeding | 67 |
| Figure 4.8 | Throughput of the Network | 68 |
| Figure 5.1 | Proposed Trust Model | 72 |
| Figure 5.2 | Packet Delivery Ratio | 77 |
| Figure 5.3 | Packet Dropped | 77 |
| Figure 5.4 | Routing Overhead | 78 |
| Figure 5.5 | Throughput | 79 |
| Figure 6.1 | Trust value of node 1 in the presence of bad mouthing attack | 87 |
| Figure 6.2 | Trust value of node 5 in the presence of ballot stuffing attack | 88 |
| Figure 6.3 | Throughput of the network | 89 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|-----------|---|----|
| Table 2.1 | Simulation Parameter for Comparison of Protocols | 18 |
| Table 3.1 | Trust Level | 37 |
| Table 3.2 | Simulation Parameter for SRUTEM | 45 |
| Table 3.3 | Simulation Parameter for TSRM | 45 |
| Table 3.4 | Recorded Simulation Data (Avg. of 10 Scenarios) | 46 |
| Table 3.4 | Recorded Simulation Data of PDR and Throughput (Avg. of 10 Scenarios) | 47 |
| Table 4.1 | Modified DSR RREQ header for blackhole | 61 |
| Table 4.2 | Modified DRS RREP headers for blackhole | 62 |
| Table 4.3 | Possible Routes between the Source and Destination | 63 |
| Table 4.4 | PDR at all Nodes | 63 |
| Table 4.5 | Trust Correlation with $\alpha = 1$ | 64 |
| Table 4.6 | Trust Correlation with $\alpha = 0.5$ | 65 |
| Table 4.7 | Simulation Parameter for blackhole | 67 |
| Table 4.8 | QoS Measured under various Experimental Setup | 68 |
| Table 5.1 | Modified DRS RREQ Header for grayhole | 73 |
| Table 5.2 | Modified DRS RREP Header for grayhole | 74 |
| Table 5.3 | Simulation Parameter used for grayhole | 76 |
| Table 6.1 | Network Configuration Parameter used in Simulation | 86 |