



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY
Dr. Sarvapalli Radhakrishnan School of Philosophical Studies
ASSAM UNIVERSITY
SILCHAR-788011
India

DECLARATION

I, **SAUMYA KANTI BISWAS**, do here by declare that my Ph.D. research work entitled “**The Ethics of Critique of Reason in the Philosophy of Nāgārjuna and Immanuel Kant: A Re-appraisal in Contemporary Critical Perspective**” submitted to the Assam University for the partial fulfillment of Ph.D. Degree is a result of the investigation carried out by me under the guidance and supervision of **Dr. Pius V. Thomas**, Assistant Professor, Department of Philosophy, Assam University, Silchar.

I further declare that this Ph. D. research work has not been previously submitted by me or others either in this or any other University for any other Degree or Diploma or fellowship.

(SAUMYA KANTI BISWAS)

Date: 14th September, 2015
Place: Assam University, Silchar

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I keep on record here my sincere gratitude and indebtedness to my respected teachers: First and foremost, may I express my boundless gratefulness to Dr. Pius V Thomas, the Department of Philosophy, Assam University, Silchar, my Supervisor and Guide, for his proficient guidance, academic integrity, intellectual involvement in the study, constant encouragement and moral support throughout the course of my study, without which I couldn't have completed my thesis.

I acknowledge my sincere gratitude to Professor A. Nataraju, Dean, S. R School of Philosophy and the Head, the Dept. of Philosophy, AUS and other respected faculty members of the Dep. of Philosophy, Dr. Subhra Nag, Prof. Nirmali Barman and Munmun Chakraborty for their support and encouragement. I also thank the non-teaching staff and the personnel at the Departmental Library and the Central Library, Assam University, for their unbounded support.

I sincerely remember in this context and put on record my earnest thankfulness to Indian Council of Philosophical Research, New Delhi and its Officials for selecting me for ICPR - Junior Research Fellowship, which was the greatest academic and financial platform/instrument of support for my research work.

It will undoubtedly be a mistake on my part if I fail to acknowledge the incessant encouragement, inspiration, love and support that I have received from my parents and my friends, especially Jibbi James (Rev. Fr. Mathew) and Kaushik Ghosh, who shaped my intellectual horizon, particularly during the early period of my philosophical studies, which made easier the task of completing my thesis in a successful way.

Last, but not the least, I thank Lord Jagannath, whose blessings have helped me in overcoming all the adverse problems which I have had to face during the course of completing the study.

I dedicate my thesis to the unbounded love and prayers of my parents.

(Suamya Kanti Biswas)

Date: The 14th September, 2015.

Place: Assam University, Silchar.

CONTENTS

	<i>Page nos.</i>
Certificate by the Supervisor	
Declaration	
Acknowledgement	
Content	
Introduction	01-11
0.1. The Study and its Context	1
0.2. The Scope of the Study	2
0.3. The Objectives of the Study	3
0.4. Methodology	3
0.5. The Course of the Argument	3
Notes and References	11
CHAPTER – 1 : The Nature of Critique in Nāgārjuna: Reason and Concept of <i>Sūnyatā</i> in Nāgārjuna’s Philosophy	12-100
1.1 Introduction	12
1.2 Mahayana Buddhism, Nāgārjuna and the Origin of the ‘Critique’	12
1.2.1 Nāgārjuna’s: A Short Biography	12
1.2.2 Critical analysis of Reasoning as a necessary form of Thought Structure: The Foundational Methodological Asset of the <i>Pramāṇa</i> Theorists	14
1.2.3 Contradiction in Reason and the Purpose of Critique	19
1.2.4 Purpose and Scope of Critique in Mahayana and Nāgārjuna’s Skepticism	28
1.2.5 The Theory of Two Truth	37
1.2.6 A Re-appraisal of Critique: The Nature and Significance of Dependent Origination (<i>Pratītyasamudpāda</i>)	42

1.3	The Dialectical Tool in the Critique of Nāgārjuna	45
1.3.1	Critique as the Counter Method of Metaphysics in Nāgārjuna	46
1.3.2	Nature of Dialectic in Nāgārjuna and the Dialectical Consciousness: On <i>Prasaṅga</i>	48
1.3.2.1	The Nature of the Dialectic	50
1.3.2.2	Consciousness and the Dialectic	53
1.3.3	Importance of the Dialectic in Nāgārjuna	54
1.3.4	Silence and the Language of the Dialectic	59
1.3.5	Theorizing the Purpose and Function of Negation in Nāgārjuna's Dialectic	61
1.3.6	<i>Sūnyatā</i> : 'Negation in Nāgārjuna' and a Critical Survey of Destructive Dialectic	64
1.3.7	Destructive Dialectic: The Relation Between Negation and Silence	67
1.3.8	Re-examining the Theoretic Purpose of Concepts in Nāgārjuna: <i>Sūnyatā</i> and <i>Pratītyasamudpāda</i>	68
1.3.9	Negation as De-categorization: Contextualizing the Great Silence	69
1.3.10	Theorizing Emptiness: A Conceptual and Non-Conceptual Appraisal	71
1.3.11	Logical Objection on Nāgārjuna's Critique of <i>Swabhāva</i>	77
1.3.12	Theorizing Emptiness: Re-approach to Moral Objectives	78
1.1.13	Nāgārjuna from the Perspective of the Critique of <i>Dṛṣṭi</i>	79
1.4	The Relation between Critique and Ethics: Some Textual Engagement	80
1.4.1	Introduction	81
1.4.2	<i>Nirvāṇa</i> and <i>Samsāra</i>	81
1.4.3	<i>Sūnyatā</i> and the Middle Way: Its Dialectical and Ethical Importance	82
1.4.4	The Relation between <i>Prajñā</i> and <i>Karuṇā</i>	83
1.5	Conclusion	90
	Notes and References	91

CHAPTER – 2 : The Critique of Pure and Practical Reason in Immanuel Kant	101-174
2.1. Introduction	101
2.2. Immanuel Kant: A Brief Biographical Sketch	101
2.3. Immanuel Kant and Reason (I)	102
2.3.1. Kant and the <i>Critique</i> of Modern Philosophy	106
2.3.2. Problematizing the universal conflict in Reason	114
2.3.3. Kant’s Metaphysics of Experience	119
2.3.4. Problem of Knowledge and a Possible Solution	124
2.3.5. From Critique of Pure Reason to Critique of Practical Reason	128
2.4. Immanuel Kant and Reason (II)	129
2.4.1. Freedom of Will and the Foundation of Moral Rationalism	130
2.4.2. Metaphysics of Pure Practical Reason	136
2.4.3. The Possibility of the Metaphysics of Pure Practical Reason: The Kantian Lineage	138
2.4.4. The Kantian Distinction between Pure Theoretic Reason and Pure Practical Reason	139
2.4.5. Pure Practical Reason and the object of morality	140
2.4.6. Postulates of Practical Morality: Freedom as the <i>Highest Good</i> , the existence of God and the Immortality of Soul	144
2.4.7. Metaphysics of Experience and Metaphysics of Morals: Establishing the Link	145
2.4.8. Towards the Hypothesis of the Teleology/Purpose and its Relation to Ethics in Kant	146
2.4.9. A Critical Re-estimation of the Critique of Reason and its Ethical Consensus: The Contribution of Enlightenment and Beyond	147
2.5. Kant and the Foundation of Critical Philosophy: Towards Higher Metaphysical Tradition of Argumentation among Some of the Post Kantian	154
2.5.1. Fichte’s Transit	155
2.5.2. Hegel’s Transit	161
2.6. Conclusion	164
Notes and Reference	165

Chapter – 3 : The Critique of Reason and Ethical Domain: Jürgen Habermas’ Critical Theory	175-229
3.1. Introduction	175
3.2. Jürgen Habermas: A Brief Biographical Sketch	175
3.3. Habermas’ Critical Theory: The Route to Critique of Reason	176
3.3.1. Critical Theory and the Frankfurt School	178
3.3.2. Marxism – Classical Theory	179
3.3.3. Marx’s Meta-Critique of Hegel	181
3.3.4. Frankfurt School’s Critique of Marx (and Marxism)	183
3.3.5. Horkheimer and the Dialectical Theory of Knowledge	190
3.4. Frankfurt School and the Critical Theory: The Characteristics of Criticism	191
3.4.1 Dialectic of Enlightenment: A Brief Historical Sketch	193
3.4.2. Habermas’s Critique of Dialectic of Enlightenment	202
3.5 Habermas’ Idea of Critical Theory	208
3.5.1. Habermas’ Re-construction of Reason	209
3.5.2 Habermas’ Justification of the Need of a Critique of Modernity	210
3.5.3. Critique of Instrumental Reason	211
3.5.4. Habermas’ ‘Communicative Reason/Rationality’ Model	213
3.5.5. Communicative Reason and Action	214
3.5.6. The Project of Discourse Ethics	216
3.5.7. Discourse Ethics as the Critique of Kantian Ethics	217
3.5.8. Discourse Ethics: Rules of Communicating Reason/ Communicating Rationally	220
3.5.9. Discourse Ethics as Communicative Ethics Reprimanding Centric Reason	222
3.6. Conclusion	223
Notes and References	224

Chapter – 4: A Hermeneutic of Ethics of the Critique of Reason: Knowledge as Understanding	230-266
4.1. Introduction	229
4.2. Knowledge in Context	229
4.3. Re-appraising Knowledge as <i>Prajñā</i>	230
4.4. Re-appraising Knowledge as the Critical Consciousness: Nāgārjuna in Context	233
4.5. Re-appraising the Critiqued Knowledge/ <i>Prajñāas Sūnyatā</i>	234
4.6. Nāgārjuna and Hegel on Dialectic	237
4.7. The Method: Destructive Dialectic (The <i>Prasaṅga</i>)	237
4.8. Sūnyatā as Liberation	241
4.9. Reappraising Understanding as an Active Principle of Reason or <i>Karuṇā</i>	244
4.10. The Moral Domain and the Concept of <i>Bodhisattva</i>	246
4.11. Re-appraising Critical Theory as Practical Reason	248
4.12. Practical Reason, Critique of Reason and Moral Domain	249
4.13. Metaphysics: The Turnover of the Table	251
4.14. Reason: Pure and Practical	253
4.15. The Ideal of Practical Reason as a Source of Understanding and the moral Domain	256
4.16. Habermas and the concept of Decentered Knowledge	257
4.17. The Consensus Theory of Truth	260
4.18. Conclusion	261
Notes and References	262
Chapter – 5 : Conclusion	266-271
5.1. Ethics and the Critique of Reason	266
5.2. Ethics of Critique of Reason – The Path Traversed	267
5.3. Findings of the Study – Concluding Comments	269
Notes and References	270
Bibliography	271-283