**DECLARATION** 

I, Madhuchhanda Choudhury bearing Registration No. Ph.D/2029/12 dated 12.09.12

hereby declare that the subject matter of the thesis entitled "Preparation of Metal

Oxide Semiconductor Quantum Dots and Their Applications as Nano Gas

**Sensor**" is the record of work done by me and that the contents of this thesis did not

form the basis for award of any degree to me or to anybody else to the best of my

knowledge. The thesis has not been submitted in any other University/ institute.

Place: Silchar

Date:

(Madhuchhanda Choudhury)

Department of Physics Assam University, Silchar-788011, Assam

#### **Acknowledgements**

First of all I express my immense gratitude to supervisors Dr. Siddhartha Sankar Nath, Associate professor, Central Instrumentation Laboratory, Assam University and Prof. Ramendu Bhattacharjee, Professor, Department of Physics, Assam University, Silchar, for their invaluable guidance, motivation and encouragement to complete my research work. Their continuous support and constructive suggestions have provided me an insight into the intricacies of experimentations involved in this work. Finalized form of my thesis has been made possible by the most valuable guidance of supervisor Dr. S.S Nath, who guided me tirelessly for endless hours for months, much to the sacrifice of his personal comfort and time of his family.

I convey my thanks to Dr. Atri Deshomukhya, Associate professor and Head, Department of Physics, Assam University, Silchar for her moral support and encouragement during my Ph. D work. I also extend my thanks to all the teaching and non-teaching staffs, Department of Physics for their help, without which, completion of this work would not be possible.

I am grateful to Prof. A. Choudhary (Department of Physics, Tezpur University) for useful scientific discussions.

I must place on record my appreciation to Dr. R. K Nath, Assistant Professor, G, C College, Silchar, Dr. Ratan Das, Assistant Professor, Tripura University, Agartala, Dr. G. Gope, Dr. S. C Dey, Mr. L. Baruah Assam University for encouraging support and co-operation throughout the work.

I must acknowledge Central Instrumentation Laboratory, Assam University, Silchar

for providing various instrumental facilities to carry on my research.

I thank the family members of my supervisor for their constant encouragements and

suggestions during my work.

I must acknowledge Prof. N V Deshpande, Director NIT Silchar for his inspiration.

I extend my hearty thanks to Dr. R H Laskar, Head, Deptt. of Electronics and Comm.

Engg and other colleagues of NIT Sichar for their constant cooperation and support.

I am thankful to my husband Mr. Suchitabrta Choudhury, Chief Executive of Cachar

Paper Mill, Panchgram, Assam and daughter Miss Srutishree Choudhury for their

constant support throughout my research work. I am also thankful to my brother&

sister in law for their support & encouragements during my research work. The love,

affection and blessings of my parents were constant inspirations to reach this stage of

my life. The strong faith of my Maa and Baba makes me attain this phase.

Date:

(Madhuchhanda Choudhury)

Place: Silchar

ii

#### **PREFACE**

This thesis contains original research on semiconductor quantum dot gas sensors. Quantum dots are three dimensionally confined structures in nm range. Due to size quantization, some new phenomena like enhancement in band gap, large surface to volume ratio etc. occur in the "samples. These properties make them very attractive candidates for developing quantum dot "gas sensor". In the present investigation, quantum dots of three different materials have been synthesized. These are: ZnO,  $SnO_2$  and  $Fe_2$   $O_3$  and tested for sensing of three reducing gases namely acetone, ethanol and methanol.

The thesis is divided into six chapters. Each chapter is split into several sub-sections. The first chapter contains the concept nano materials and quantum dots, properties and technological importance. The second chapter contains the literature survey while 3<sup>rd</sup> chapter discusses synthesis procedures of quantum dots by quenching method. The fourth chapter contains characterizations of synthesized quantum dots by Optical absorption spectroscopy X-ray diffraction (XRD) study, High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM), Optical Absorption Spectroscopy study is mainly adopted for revealing the band gap while XRD and HRTEM studies are mainly used to study the quantum dot size and the surface morphology. In fifth chapter, the applications of quantum dots gas sensors have been discussed. In present study, reducing gases been chosen. In sixth chapter the present work is concluded with further direction of research in this field.

## **CONTENTS**

		Page No.
	Declaration	
	Certificate	
	Acknowledgement	i
	Preface	iii
	List of Figures	viii
	List of Tables	xi
	CHAPTER 1	1-12
	Introduction	
1.1	Definitions of nano particles	1
1.2	Properties of quantum dots	3
1.3	Technological importance of quantum dots	4
1.4	Present work	6
1.5	Thesis outline	7
	References	8
	CHAPTER 2	13-29
	Literature Survey	
2.1	Importance of literature survey	13
2.2	Origin of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology	13
2.3	Overview of recent research in the area of solid state thin film/ quantum dots gas sensor	14
	References	25

	CHAPTER 3	30-40
	Synthesis of quantum dots	
3.1	Overview of nanoparticles preparation techniques, adopted by other workers	30
3.2	Quantum dot synthesis technique adopted in the present work	31
3.3	Quenching method	32
3.4	Physical properties of matrices used in the present work	32
3.5	Synthesis of ZnO quantum dots on PVA matrix	34
3.6	Synthesis of ZnO quantum dots on PVP matrix	34
3.7	Synthesis of SnO <sub>2</sub> quantum dots on PVP matrix	34
3.8	Synthesis of Fe 2O3 quantum dots on PVP matrix	35
3.9	Advantages and disadvantages of PVA matrix	35
3.10	Advantages and disadvantages of PVP matrix	36
3.11	Advantages and disadvantages of quenching method	37
3.12	Conclusion	38
	References	38
	CHAPTER 4	41-65
	Characterization of Material	
4.1	UV- Visible spectroscopy	41
4.2	X-ray diffraction study (XRD)	43
4.2.1	Sample identification	44
4.2.2	Crystallite (grain) size estimations	45
4.3	High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM)	46
4.4	Conductivity Test	47
4.5	Characterization of samples	48
4.5.1.	Zinc oxide (ZnO) quantum dots embedded in PVA matrix	48

4.5.2	Zinc oxide (ZnO) quantum dots embedded in PVP matrix	51
4.5.3	Tin oxide (SnO <sub>2</sub> ) quantum dots embedded in PVP matrix	55
4.5.4	Iron oxide $(Fe_2O_3)$ quantum dots embedded in PVP matrix	58
4.6	Conclusion	61
	References	61
	CHAPTER 5	66-116
	Application of semiconductor Quantum dots as gas sensors	
5.1	Basic principle of solid state semiconductor gas sensor	67
5.1.1	Advantage of quantum dot gas sensor.	68
5.2	Instrumentation for gas sensing	69
5.3	Sensing parameters	70
5.4	Measurement of gas / vapor Volume	74
5.5	ZnO Quantum dots for gas sensing	76
5.5.1	Acetone sensing properties of ZnO Quantum dots embedded in PVA matrix	76
5.5.2	Acetone sensing properties of ZnO Quantum dots Embedded in PVP matrix	81
5.5.3	Advantage of ZnO/PVP quantum dot sensor over ZnO/PVA quantum dot sensor	84
5.5.4	Ethanol sensing properties of ZnO Quantum dots Embedded in PVP matrix	85
5.5.5	Methanol sensing properties of ZnO Quantum dots Embedded in PVP matrix.	88
5.6	Gas sensing by SnO <sub>2</sub> Quantum dots	91
5.6.1	Acetone sensing properties of SnO <sub>2</sub> Quantum dots Embedded in PVP matrix	91
5.6.2	Ethanol sensing properties of SnO <sub>2</sub> Quantum dots Embedded in PVP matrix	95

	List of Publications	127-128
	Appendix	126
	References	123
6.2	Future research direction	122
6.1	Conclusion	117
	Conclusion and future direction	
	CHAPTER 6	117-125
	References	111
3.8		
5.8	Embedded in PVP matrix.  Conclusion	110
5.7.3	Methanol sensing properties of Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> quantum dots	107
5.7.2	Ethanol sensing properties of Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Quantum dots Embedded in PVP matrix	104
5.7.1	Acetone sensing properties of Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Quantum dots Embedded in PVP matrix	101
5.7.	Gas sensing by Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Quantum dots films	101
5.6.3	Methanol sensing properties of SnO <sub>2</sub> Quantum dots Embedded in PVP matrix.	98

# **List of Figures**

	CHAPTER 1	Page No.
Fig 1.1	Schematic diagram of quantum well	2
Fig 1.2	Schematic diagram of quantum wire	2
Fig 1.3	Schematic diagram of quantum dot array	3
Fig 1.4	TEM images of quantum dot assembly	3
	CHAPTER 2	
	No figure	
	CHAPTER 3	
Fig 3.1	Structures of polymers. a: PVA b: PVP	33
	CHAPTER 4	
Fig 4.1	Schematic diagram of Electronic excitation between two energy states	42
Fig 4.2	Schematic of General pattern of UV / Visible absorption spectra of thin films	43
Fig 4.3	X-ray diffraction by sample	43
Fig 4.4	A typical X-ray diffractomete	43
Fig 4.5	Schematic of XRD pattern	44
Fig 4.6	Schematic of Single XRD peak	45
Fig 4.7	Photographs of high resolution transmission electron microscope	47
Fig 4.8	Optical spectra of ZnO a: quantum dot, b: bulk	48
Fig 4.9	XRD Spectra of ZnO Quantum dots embedded in PVA	50
Fig 4.10	HRTEM Photographs of ZnO	51
Fig 4.11	Optical spectra of ZnO embedded on PVP a: quantum dot, b: bulk	54
Fig 4.12	XRD Spectra of ZnO embedded in PVP	54

Fig 4.13: (i-a)	HRTEM images of PVP matrix	54
Fig 4.13: (i-b)	ZnO quantum dots embedded in PVP	54
Fig 4.13(ii)	Image Of ZnO quantum dot surface	54
Fig 4.14	UV/VIS absorption spectra of SnO <sub>2</sub> a. quantum dots embedded on PVP	55
Fig 4.15	XRD spectra of SnO <sub>2</sub> quantum dots	57
Fig 4.16	HRTEM images of SnO <sub>2</sub> sample	57
Fig 4.17	$UV/VIS$ absorption spectra of $Fe_2O_3$ a. quantum dots embedded on PVP b. bulk	58
Fig 4.18	XRD spectra of Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> quantum dots	60
Fig 4.19	HRTEM images Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> sample	60
	CHAPTER 5	
Fig 5.1	Schematic representation of static measurement setup	69
Fig 5.2	A typical transient response characteristic curve	72
Fig 5.3	HRTEM image of ZnO/PVP quantum dots	73
Fig 5.4	Schematic of Variation of sensitivity with operating temperature	74
Fig 5.5	Schematic of transient response characteristic	76
Fig 5.6	Variation of sensitivity with operating temperature at different acetone concentration	78
Fig 5.7	Transient response characteristics ZnO/PVA quantum dot to different acetone concentrations of acetone in air at 290 °C.	80
Fig 5.8	Response versus operating temperature at various concentrations of acetone vapor	82
Fig 5.9	Transient response characteristics of the ZnO/PVA quantum dot for various acetone concentrations at 300°C.	83
Fig 5.10	Response Vs operating temperature for different ethanol concentrations	86
Fig 5.11	Transient response characteristics of ZnO quantum dots for different concentrations of ethanol at 300°C	87

Fig 5.12	Response Vs operating temperature for different methanol concentrations	89
Fig 5.13	Time response characteristics of ZnO quantum dots for different concentrations of methanol at 290°C	91
Fig 5.14	Response versus operating temperature at various concentrations of acetone vapor	93
Fig 5.15	Transient response characteristics of the $SnO_2$ quantum dot for various acetone concentrations at $250^{\circ}C$ .	94
Fig 5.16	Response versus operating temperature at various concentrations of Ethanol vapor	96
Fig 5.17	Transient response characteristics of the Sno2 quantum dot for various Ethanol concentrations at 275°C	97
Fig 5.18	Response Vs operating temperature for different methanol concentrations for SnO <sub>2</sub> sensor	99
Fig 5.19	Time response characteristics of $SnO_2$ quantum dots for different concentrations of methanol at $250^{0}C$	100
Fig 5.20	Response versus operating temperature at various concentrations of acetone vapor	103
Fig 5.21	Transient response characteristics of the $Fe_2O_3$ quantum dot for various acetone concentrations at $300^{0}C$	103
Fig 5.22	Response versus operating temperature at various concentrations of ethanol vapour	106
Fig 5.23	Transient response characteristics of the $Fe_2O_3$ quantum dot for various Ethanol concentration at $290^{0}C$	106
Fig 5.24	Response Vs operating temperature for different methanol concentrations for Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> sensor	109
Fig 5.25	Time response characteristics of $Fe_2O_3$ quantum dots for different concentrations of methanol at $300^{0}C$	110
	CHAPTER 6	

No figure

## **List of Tables**

		Page No.
	CHAPTER 1	
	No Table	
	CHAPTER 2	
	No table	
	CHAPTER3	
Table 3.1	Physical properties of PVA and PVP	33
	CHAPTER 4	
Table 4.1	Bulk band gap and effective masses of ZnO	49
Table 4.2	Data of ZnO/PVA specimen revealed from optical absorption spectroscopy	49
Table 4.3	Data of ZnO/PVA sample obtained from HRTEM study	51
Table 4.4	Bulk band gap and effective masses of ZnO	53
Table 4.5	Data of ZnO/PVP specimen revealed from optical absorption spectroscopy	53
Table 4.6	Bulk band gap and effective masses of SnO <sub>2</sub>	56
Table 4.7	Data of SnO <sub>2</sub> /PVP specimen revealed from optical absorption spectroscopy	56
Table 4.8	Bulk band gap and effective masses of Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	59
Table 4.9	Data of Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /PVP specimen revealed from optical absorption spectroscopy	59
	CHAPTER 5	
Table 5.1	Data of sensitivity Vs operating temperature plot and transient response at different acetone concentration for ZnO/PVA sensor	81
Table 5.2	The data of sensitivity Vs operating temperature plot and transient response at different acetone concentration for ZnO/PVP sensor	83

Table 5.3	comparative table for ZnO/PVA as acetone sensor and ZnO/PVP as acetone sensor	84
Table 5.4	Data of sensitivity Vs operating temperature plot and transient response at different ethanol concentration for ZnO/PVP sensor	88
Table 5.5	data of sensitivity Vs operating temperature plot and transient response at different methanol concentration for ZnO/PVP sensor	91
Table 5.6	data of sensitivity Vs operating temperature plot and transient response at different acetone concentration for SnO <sub>2</sub> /PVP sensor	94
Table 5.7	Data of sensitivity Vs operating temperature plot and transient response at different ethanol concentration for SnO <sub>2</sub> /PVP sensor	98
Table 5.8	data of sensitivity Vs operating temperature plot and transient response at different methanol concentration for SnO <sub>2</sub> /PVP sensor	100
Table 5.9	Data of sensitivity Vs operating temperature plot and transient response at different acetone concentration for Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /PVP sensor	104
Table 5.10	Data of sensitivity Vs operating temperature plot and transient response at different ethanol concentration for Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /PVP sensor	107
Table 5.11	: data of sensitivity Vs operating temperature plot and transient response at different methanol concentration for $Fe_2O_3/PVP$ sensor	110
	CHAPTER 6	
Table 6.1	Data from characterizations	117
Table 6.2	Experimental Data from Acetone Sensing	119
Table 6.3	Experimental Data from Ethanol Sensing	120
Table 6.4	Experimental Data from Methanol Sensing	121